

A GRAMMAR
OF THE
KUI LANGUAGE

BY

J. E. FRIEND-PEREIRA, B.A.,
OF THE PROVINCIAL CIVIL SERVICE, BENGAL.

FIRST EDITION.



Calcutta:
BENGAL SECRETARIAT BOOK DEPÔT.
1909.

[*Price—Indian, Rs. 2-12 ; English, 4s.*]

Published at the BENGAL SECRETARIAT BOOK DEPOT,
Writers' Buildings, Calcutta.

OFFICIAL AGENTS.

In India—

MESSRS. THACKER, SPINK & Co., Calcutta and Simla.
MESSRS. NEWMAN & Co., Calcutta.
MESSRS. HIGGINBOTHAM & Co., Madras.
MESSRS. THACKER & Co., LD., Bombay.
MESSRS. A. J. COMBRIDGE & Co., Bombay.
THE SUPERINTENDENT, AMERICAN BAPTIST MISSION PRESS, Rangoon.
MRS. RADHABAI ATMARAM SAGOON, Bombay.
MESSRS. R. CAMBRAY & Co., Calcutta.
RAI SAHIB M. GULAB SINGH & SONS, Proprietors of the Mufid-i-~~am~~ Press, Lahore, Punjab.
MESSRS. THOMPSON & Co., Madras.
MESSRS. S. MURTHY & Co., Madras.
MESSRS. GOPAL NARAYEN & Co., Bombay.
MESSRS. B. BANERJEE & Co., 25 Cornwallis Street, Calcutta.
MESSRS. S. K. LAHIRI & Co., Printers and Book-sellers, College Street, Calcutta.
MESSRS. V. KALYANARAM IYER & Co., Book-sellers, &c., Madras.
MESSRS. D. B. TARAPOREVALA, SONS & Co., Book-sellers, Bombay.
MESSRS. G. A. NATESON & Co., Madras.
MR. N. B. MATHUR, Superintendent, Nazir Kaniun Hind Press, Allahabad.
THE CALCUTTA SCHOOL-BOOK SOCIETY.
MR. SUNDER PANDURANG, Bombay.
MESSRS. A. M. AND J. FERGUSON Ceylon.
MESSRS. TEMPLE & Co., Madras.
MESSRS. COMBRIDGE & Co., Madras.
MESSRS. A. R. PILLAI & Co., Trivandrum
MESSRS. A. CHAND & Co., Punjab.
BABU S. C. TALUKDAR, Proprietor, Students & Co., Cooch Behar.

In England—

MR. E. A. ARNOLD, 41 & 43 Maddox Street, Bond Street, London, W.
MESSRS. CONSTABLE & Co., 10 Orange Street, Leicester Square, London, W. C.
MESSRS. GRINDLAY & Co., 54 Parliament Street, London, S. W.
MESSRS. KEGAN, PAUL, TRENCH, TRÜBNER & Co., 43 Gerrard Street, Soho, London, W.
MR. B. QUARITCH, 11 Grafton Street, New Bond Street, London, W.
MESSRS. W. THACKER & Co., 2 Creed Lane, London, E. C.
MESSRS. P. S. KING & SON, 2 & 4 Great Smith Street, Westminster, London, S. W.
MESSRS. H. S. KING & Co., 65 Cornhill, London, E. C.
MR. B. H. BLACKWELL, 50-51 Broad Street, Oxford.
MESSRS. DRIGHTON BELL & Co., Cambridge.
MR. T. FISHER UNWIN, 1 Adelphi Terrace, London, W. C.
MESSRS. LUZAC & Co., 46 Great Russell Street, London, W. C.

On the Continent—

MESSRS. R. FRIEDLÄNDER & SOHN, Berlin, N. W. Carlstrasse, 11.
MR. OTTO HARRASSOWITZ, Leipzig.
MR. RUDOLF HAUPT, 1, Dorrienstrasse, Leipzig (Germany).
MR. KARL HIERSEMANN, Leipzig.
MR. ERNEST LEROUX, 28 Rue Bonaparte, Paris.
MR. MARTINUS NIJHOFF, The Hague.

A GRAMMAR
OF THE
KŪI LANGUAGE.

PREFACE.

No one is more conscious of the imperfection of this work than the author. It was compiled during the scanty intervals of leisure of a busy official life; and it was unfortunately not completed before the author left the Kandh country for good. However, he has a hope that some one with better opportunities than he has had will one day bring out a revised edition of the book in which all errors will be corrected and not a few omissions supplied.

If this Grammar of a little known archaic Dravidian dialect be found to be of use to students in throwing some light on the early history of the growth of the modern literary Dravidian languages the author will be amply repaid for his labour.

The author takes the opportunity of expressing his deep obligations and grateful thanks to Dr. Grierson, C.I.E., PH.D., D. LITT., I.C.S. (retired), for his invaluable advice and suggestions at all times, and for his kindness in seeing the proof sheets through the press.

INTRODUCTION.

KANDH or, as it is called by the people themselves, Kūi is one of the uncultivated aboriginal dialects of the Dravidian group of languages.

The Dravidian languages belong to what is known as the class of Agglutinative languages. In the Agglutinative languages bare roots may be complete words in themselves. But when it becomes necessary to join together or agglutinate two or more roots in order to express the complex ideas that are involved in the grammatical relation of words in a proposition—such, for instance, as the cases of the noun, or the gender, number, person, and the tenses of the verb—the primary root exercises a certain amount of attraction on the secondary roots and converts them into prefixes or suffixes, but does not blend with them into a new organic whole as in the class of Organic languages.

The agglutinative languages differ widely from each other in their method of development; but they all retain a common feature in that they preserve the form of their primary roots howevermuch the secondary roots may have become altered under the influence of varying forces. In some agglutinative languages the secondary roots are added in the form of prefixes to the primary roots, in others they take the shape of postpositions or suffixes; in some the alteration in the form of the secondary roots is very marked, in others it is hardly noticeable; in some, again, the order of the words in a sentence is according to a certain fixed arrangement, in others it follows a different method; in some languages the secondary root has become a permanent prefix

or suffix, and has converted the compound word into a part of speech, in others the agglutination is so slight that the exact function and the particular meaning of the compound word has to be determined from the context.

The Dravidian languages have proceeded along a line of development that approximates towards the organic form of speech for they possess a declension for the noun and a conjugation for the verb that are not unlike those of the Indo-Aryan languages. The compounded words have, in fact, become real parts of speech.

In all languages roots may be divided into two classes : *first*, predicative roots that signify living beings, inanimate objects, qualities, states, and actions ; and *secondly*, demonstrative roots that mark the relation of words in a proposition. The first class may be further subdivided into nominal roots and verbal roots accordingly as they denote living beings, inanimate objects and qualities, and states and actions respectively.

In some agglutinative languages, as for instance the Munda family group, predicative roots, and even demonstrative roots in some cases, are used with considerable elasticity both as nouns and as verbs, and of necessity with a corresponding vagueness in meaning, *e.g.*, the nominal root *sim*, in Sonthali, signifies—a fowl ; as a verb *sim-keṭ'-ko-a-le* means literally—we *fouled* them. The usual meaning of the agglutination is—we *have obtained fowls*. But it may also mean—we *have had fowls to eat*. The exact signification of the word can only be determined from the context. In the Dravidian languages—unlike the Munda languages—many of the nominal roots cannot be used as verbal themes, but every verbal root in its participial form may be converted into a noun of agency.

It will be seen from the example taken from Sonthali that the greater the functional elasticity of a word the

vaguer is its meaning. Dr. Caldwell remarks—"It would appear that originally there was no difference in any instance between the nominal and the verbal form of the root in any Dravidian dialect. Gradually, however, as the dialects became more cultivated, and as logical distinction was felt to be desirable, a separation commenced to take place. This separation was effected by modifying the theme by some formative addition, when it was desired to restrict it to one purpose alone, and prevent its being used for others also. In many instances the theme is still used in poetry, in accordance with ancient usages, indifferently either as a verb or as a noun; but in prose more commonly as a noun only or as a verb only."

Dr. Caldwell is of opinion, furthermore, that Dravidian roots were originally monosyllabic. He divides the formative elements that came to be attached gradually to the monosyllabic roots into two classes, and calls them *the formative addition* and *the particle of specialisation*. He observes—"Formative suffixes are appended to the crude bases of nouns as well as to those of verbs. They are added not only to verbal derivatives, but to nouns which appear to be primitive; but they are most frequently appended to verbs properly so called, of the inflexional basis of which they form the last syllable, generally the third. These particles seem originally to have been the formatives of verbal nouns, and the verbs to which they are suffixed seem originally to have had the force of secondary verbs; but whatever may have been the origin of these particles, they now serve to distinguish transitive verbs from intransitives, and the adjectival form of nouns from that which stands in an isolated position and is used as a nominative." In regard to the particle of specialisation he says—"The verbs and nouns belonging to the class of bases which are now under consideration, consist of a monosyllabic root or stem, containing the generic signification, and a second syllable

originally perhaps a formative addition, or perhaps the fragment of a lost root or lost postposition, by which the generic meaning of the stem is in some manner modified. The second syllable appears sometimes to expand and sometimes to restrict the signification, but in some instances, through the absence of synonyms, its force cannot now be ascertained. As this syllable is intended in some manner to specialise the meaning of the root, I call it 'the particle of specialisation.'"

It is not necessary to enquire how the welding of these secondary roots or formatives with the primary root came to take place in the literary Dravidian languages: it will suffice for our purpose to state here briefly what the effects were on the vocabulary of those languages as well as on their power of expression.

First, by the addition of formatives to primary roots a distinction in form was drawn between the substantival and the verbal use of words. For instance, in Tamil the verb *kaḍu* means—to be sharp; with the formative addition *gu* the same root becomes *kaḍu-gu* and conveys the signification of—that which is pungent, namely, *mustard*; further, when the included vowel is lengthened and the root appears as *kāḍu*, the meaning becomes—what is rough, harsh, or rugged, namely, a *forest*. Similarly, from *niḷ*, to stand, is formed *niḷam*, the ground; from *naḍu*, to plant, *nāḍu*, the country, from *min*, to glitter, *mīn*, a fish; and so on.

Secondly, by the addition of formatives to primary roots the transitive verb was distinguished from the intransitive, and the adjectival form of the noun from the substantival. In Tamil and Malayalam, where the systematic use of this class of formatives is most fully developed, the initial consonant of the formative is single

when it marks the intransitive or neuter signification of the verb or the substantival form of the noun, but it is hardened from a sonant to its corresponding surd and at the same time doubled when it implies the transition of the action or quality, that is, when it marks the transitive signification of the verb or the adjectival form of the noun, *e.g.*, in Tamil *peru-gu* (*intrans.*) means to become increased, but *peru-kku* (*trans.*) to increase; *kuru-qu*, (*noun*), blindness, *kuru-ttu*, (*adjective*), blind.

Thirdly, by the addition of the particle of specialisation to the generic primary root, clusters of kindred words were formed expressing the common signification contained in the primary root as modified by that contained in each one of the various secondary roots, *e.g.*, in Tamil a series of words radiate from a common base *aṇ* as follows:—

| | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
| <i>aṇu</i> , <i>aṇugu</i> | ... to approach, to touch. |
| <i>aṇei</i> | ... to connect, to embrace; <i>as</i> a noun, a weir, a dam. |
| <i>aṇvu</i> | ... to cleave to. |
| <i>aṇṇu</i> | ... to resort to, to lean upon. |
| <i>aṇmu</i> | ... to be near. |

It will be easily understood how the addition of these compounded root-words we have been considering enriches the vocabulary of a language and imparts to it a flexibility and exactness of expression that makes it a fit vehicle to follow thought into its finer and more intricate shades. By means of formative additions to primary roots we can readily coin words that will not only signify sharply drawn and distinct concepts, but will also convey in themselves a considerable amount of abstract thought.

The systematic addition of formative elements to root words in the cultivated dialects of the Dravidian tongue had

the effect of gradually developing them and converting them into an organic form with a copiousness of words and a power of expression that are the characteristics of the Organic class of languages.

Kūi has advanced sufficiently to develop a declension for the noun and a conjugation for the verb. But a development in that direction alone was a real weakness inasmuch as it involved the loss of the power which the primitive tongue possessed of employing roots indiscriminately as both nominal and verbal themes. On the other hand there was no compensation as in the literary languages for the loss of this power, because through mental indolence or perhaps incapacity no intelligent use was made of formative additions to obtain words that would convey a precise and definite signification. Accordingly, we find as the distinguishing feature of the Kūi language an exceedingly scanty vocabulary and a feebleness and vagueness in the expression of thoughts and ideas.

The general characteristics of Kūi are briefly:—

First, an entire absence of words that signify the higher forms of thought. Hence there are no abstract nouns to express an ideal entity as existing separate and distinct from a concrete object. Such a concept as 'divisibility' involving as it does a high degree of mental comparison and abstraction cannot be rendered properly in the language. Even a simple abstract noun like 'goodness' has to be expressed by circumlocution.

Secondly, an inadequate number of words to mark and differentiate between the various kinds of moral qualities and the various forms of higher activities, *e.g.*, the one word *nēg* has to do service to signify 'good,' 'kind,' 'generous,' 'sympathetic,' 'discreet,' 'modest,' 'pious,' etc.; and the one word *rō'i* to mean 'bad,' 'wicked,' 'unkind,' 'ungenerous,' etc. Similarly, *ālu*, 'probably

from the Dravidian root *uḷ*, to be within, is used for 'wisdom,' 'reason,' 'judgment,' 'thought,' etc. When it is necessary to specify exactly a particular moral quality or mental activity recourse must be had to circumlocution, often without success. Individuals, who have an acquaintance with Uriya or Hindi, generally make use of the corresponding word in those languages.

Thirdly, the absence of any systematic attempt by the addition of formative particles as in the literary languages (1) to draw a distinction between the substantival and verbal form of words, (2) to discriminate between the intransitive and transitive signification of verbs and the substantival and adjectival form of nouns, and (3) to form a group of related words from a common generic root.

There are one or two instances in Kūi of a noun being distinguished from its verbal form by means of a formative addition, *e.g.*, *kō-mbo*, a sept, from the root *kō*, to cut (compare Tamil *kō-mbu*, a branch, from the root *ko-y*, to pluck off, to cut); *pa-ñ-g-e-ni*, a plank, from the root *pag*, to divide (compare Tamil *pa-ñ-gu*, a portion, from the root *pagu*, to divide); *vej-gu*, fuel, from probably the root *vaj*, to cook. In all these instances the substantival forms may have been taken bodily from one of the cultivated languages because the only kind of noun that is regularly formed from a verbal root in Kūi is not a derivative noun but a verbal noun, which merely expresses the action or state implied in the root, and which in fact is a gerund, *e.g.*, from the root *tin*, to eat, comes the verbal noun *tin-ba*, the eating. Now *tin-ba*, or its causal form *tis-ppa* may mean 'food' or 'feast' in certain connections, *e.g.*, *nakuri*, *tinba-ki vātenju*,—the dog he has come to the feast. But we must say *mrāuni bōji-ki vātenju*,—he

has come to the daughter's feast (a part of the marriage ritual), because *mrāuni tinba* or *mrāuni tisppa* would mean not—the *feast* of the daughter, but—the *eating* of the daughter, or—the *feeding* of the daughter. *Bōji* is, of course, the Uriya or Hindī word *bhōj*, a feast. Similarly, from the root *sī*, to give, we obtain *si-va*,—a giving, but no such word as 'gift' or 'gifted'; from the root *mēh*, to see, *mēh'ppa*,—a seeing, but no word as 'sight' or 'scene' or 'scenery.' The neuter singular of the relative participial noun derived from the root *tīn* may also sometimes mean food, *e.g.*, *ānu tinari māne gā'e*,—is there any food for me. But *nange tinari māne gā'e* would mean—is there something that will eat me, namely, *an evil spirit*. The verbal noun in *a* which has the force of the infinitive compounded with an appellative noun formed on the base *gāṭa* (from the Uriya *gōṭa*,) yields in certain cases a compound derivative noun of agency, *e.g.*, *tōsppa gāṭanjū*, a guide, from the root *tōs*, to show; *pūṇḍa gāṭanjū*, a messenger, from the root *pūṇḍ*, to send. This process of forming a class of derivative nouns from verbs is already one step of progress on the part of the Kandhs.

In some instances the verbal nouns that have the force of the present verbal participle and the infinitive are used together with the signification of a verbal derivative noun of quality, *e.g.*, *vesppi vesppa*, conversation (*lit.* saying to say); in other instances the infinitives of two synonymous words are used in a similar way, *e.g.*, *sāh'ppa kosppa*, assault (*lit.*, beating killing). This peculiar crude method of forming derivative nouns indicates the relatively low mental condition of the people.

The characteristic Dravidian law that is seen very clearly in Tamil and Malayalam of hardening and at the same time doubling the sonant of the formative to its corresponding surd to express the transitive verb or the

adjective appears in Kūi in a few instances. Here again the words in which the law shows itself may have been borrowed from one of the literary languages, *e.g.* *grāmb*, to learn, and *grāpp*, to teach; *aj*, to fear, and *ass*, to frighten; *tōnj*, to appear, and *tōss*, to show; *tīn(j)*, to eat, and *tīss*, to feed; *dīg*, to touch, and *dikk*, to kill. The hard forms are in a sense the transitives, or rather the causals, of *grāmb*, *aj*, *tōnj*, and *tīn(j)*, respectively. In *dīg* and *dikk* the law is seen in another aspect, for the hardening and doubling of the sonant *g* to its corresponding surd *kk* is obviously for the purpose of intensifying the force of the action signified by *dīg*, namely, from—‘to touch’ to—‘to kill.’ In *kōg*, small or to be small, and *kōkk*, to sit down, the same law is evidently at work also, for ‘to sit down’ is really ‘to make one self small,’ and the primary meaning of *kōkk* may have been to make small. In *rūnja*, thunder, and *rūss*, to grind,—if the two words are radically the same—the law appears in an irregular way, for the soft form is the noun and the hard form the transitive verb. It is possible, judging from the analogy of the other examples, that there was formerly a word like *rū-n-j* meaning *to be ground*, and *rūnja* is a derivative signifying that which was ground, namely, *thunder*.

The hardening of the sonant to its corresponding surd for the purpose of marking the adjective from the noun is not found in Kūi, and consequently, unlike the literary languages, there is no change in the theme of the noun in the oblique cases, *e.g.*, *iḡu*, a house; *iḡu-ni*, of a house. On the other hand, unlike the literary languages again, the characteristic law comes into operation and hardens and doubles the sonant in the theme of certain substantive nouns when the hard plural suffix *ka* or *kka* is used, *e.g.*, *iḡu*, a house; plural, *iḡt-ka*.

There is another instance of the curious working of the law in Kūi which it will be worth while to examine. In certain words containing a sonant—and it does not matter whether it is in the beginning of the word or in the middle—the sonant is sometimes hardened, and possibly also doubled, with a change in the meaning of the word. For instance *gīna*, let us do, becomes *kkīna* when the meaning is specialised—to do in a particular way, e.g., *nāṭṭu kkīna* let us found or establish a new village. In the example the sonants in both the verb and the noun are hardened with a change in meaning: *nāju gīna* means literally—let us build a village; but *nāṭṭu kkīna*,—let us found a new village. The *ṭ* in the word *nāṭṭu* does not appear at first sight to be the surd of *j*, but as a matter of fact it is, for the *j* in *nāju* is really the softened form of *ṭ*—and this dialectical change frequently occurs in Kūi—of the Dravidian word *nāḍu*, a country. The law appears sometimes in the infinitive, converting the soft form of the formative *v* or *mb* into *p* or *pp*, e.g., *kāma gīva taṅgi nājutari ārkamū*,—go call the villagers to work; but *kāma gīppka taṅgi*,—to work, to work (for what else do you think I want them). In this example the hardening of the formative is apparently to lay particular stress on the word. Again the law is sometimes seen in the use of the hard plural suffix *ka* or *kka* for a special effect, e.g., *kōḍiṅgani pēh'mū*,—drive away the bullocks; but *u! isingi kōḍiskani aṅgina*,—oh! how shall we manage these wicked bullocks (an exclamation often uttered by a ploughman). The peculiar manner in which this characteristic Dravidian law shows itself in Kūi would seem to point to the conclusion that it is something that is inherent in the language.

As no conscious effort was probably ever directed towards the formation of a set of related words containing a generic idea we find several names apparently from several independent and distinct roots for such a common object of every day use as a basket merely to distinguish its various sizes

and shapes, *e.g.*, *āva*, *mugi*, *bōga*, *kōsa*, *būrki*. The Kandhs might very well have exercised their ingenuity in a higher direction and evolved distinct names for the various mental acts as 'wisdom,' 'intelligence,' 'reason,' 'judgment,' instead of being content with a single vague term *ēlu*. That they have not done so only shows that their intellectual condition remains in the same rude and undeveloped stage in which it was in primitive times. Dr. Caldwell, in discussing the præ-Aryan civilization of the Dravidian people, says— ".....they had a word for 'thought' but no word distinct from this for 'memory,' 'judgment,' or 'conscience ;' and no word for 'will.' " This remark aptly sums up in a word the present mental condition of the Kandhs and the state of their language.

CONTENTS.

PART I.

| | <i>Page.</i> |
|----------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| Letters— | |
| Vowels | 1 |
| Euphonic copula and semi-vowel | 2 |
| Consonants | <i>ib.</i> |
| Aspirate | <i>ib.</i> |
| Peculiar abrupt or stopped sound | 3 |
| Remarks on the sound of some of the consonants | 4 |
| Nunnation or nasalisation | <i>ib.</i> |
| Euphonic change in the nasal letters | 5 |
| Accent | <i>ib.</i> |

PART II.

CHAPTER I.

THE NOUN.

Classes of Nouns—

| | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Substantive nouns | 7 |
| Nouns of agency:—Appellative nouns and relative participial nouns | <i>ib.</i> |
| Verbal nouns | 8 |
| Derivative nouns or verbal derivatives | <i>ib.</i> |

Gender—

| | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Remarks on gender in the Dravidian languages—No inherent sign of gender—Some word equivalent to 'male' and 'female' prefixed to noun to distinguish between sexes of living beings | 9 |
| In nouns of agency in Kûi suffix denoting 'being' or 'agent' takes two forms: one for male rational beings and the other for female rational beings, and animals and things | <i>ib.</i> |

Gender—*concl'd.*

Page.

| | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Two grammatical genders in Kûi: <i>masculine</i> for male rational beings, and <i>neuter</i> or <i>neutral</i> for all the rest | 9 |
| The suffixes implying 'being' or 'agent' in nouns of agency | 10 |
| Essential difference between masculine and neuter suffixes in nouns of agency | <i>ib.</i> |
| Signs of a rudimentary rational feminine suffix in the singular | <i>ib.</i> |
| Manner of distinguishing female rational beings from animals and things in nouns of agency | 11 |
| Ways of distinguishing sex of living beings | <i>ib.</i> |
| Suffixes implying being or agent to be called the <i>gender</i> suffixes in contradistinction to the <i>number</i> suffixes | 12 |

Number—

| | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| In the Dravidian languages | 12 |
| Two numbers in Kûi: <i>singular</i> and <i>plural</i> | <i>ib.</i> |
| <i>Singular number</i> —Formation | <i>ib.</i> |
| Rules for the use of gender suffixes | <i>ib.</i> |
| <i>Plural number</i> —Formation | 13 |
| Rules for the use of the plural number and gender suffixes | 14 |
| Distinction in form between female rational beings and animals or things in the plural of nouns of agency not a hard-and-fast rule | 17 |
| Plural masculine suffix <i>aru</i> not used with epicene gender signification | <i>ib.</i> |
| Doubled and hardened form of plural number suffix <i>ska</i> used to pluralise female rational substantive nouns | 18 |
| Remarks on the use of the doubled and hardened form of plural number suffix <i>ska</i> | <i>ib.</i> |
| Some neuter substantive nouns undergo modifications before adding the plural number suffixes | 19 |

Case—

| | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Remarks on the formation of case—by agglutination of auxiliary words or particles to the noun or pronoun | 20 |
| Case suffix added to <i>inflectional base</i> of the noun | <i>ib.</i> |
| Inflectional <i>base</i> of the noun: <i>crude base</i> , <i>modified base</i> , <i>crude base</i> and <i>inflectional increment</i> —Formation | 21 |
| The inflectional increments | <i>ib.</i> |
| Inflectional base the <i>general oblique case</i> of the noun | <i>ib.</i> |

| | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| Case—<i>conold</i>. | Page. |
| <i>General oblique case</i> —of nouns—may be used for any one of the oblique cases—is the genitive as well as the accusative case in Kūi | 22 |
| <i>Nominative case</i> —No distinctive case termination . . . | ib. |
| <i>Genitive case</i> —No auxiliary genitive case sign—Use of inflexional increments—Method of forming genitive case of personal pronouns | 23 |
| <i>Accusative case</i> —Identically the same as general oblique case—Use of inflexional increments—Method of forming accusative case of personal pronouns . . . | 25 |
| <i>Dative case</i> —Formed by adding auxiliary suffix <i>ki</i> to inflexional base—Method of forming dative case of personal pronouns | ib. |
| <i>Other cases</i> —Formed in the same way as dative case by addition of auxiliary suffix to inflexional base . | 26 |
| <i>Locative case</i> —Formed by addition of suffix <i>ta</i> . . . | ib. |
| <i>Ablative case</i> —Formed by addition of suffix <i>teka</i> . . . | ib. |
| <i>Instrumental case</i> —Formed by addition of suffix <i>qai</i> , <i>ʔai</i> , <i>rai</i> | ib. |
| <i>Conjunctive case</i> —Formed by addition of suffix <i>kee</i> . | ib. |
| <i>Vocative case</i> —Formed in peculiar manner—Noun made into an appellative verb of the second person and expletive <i>e</i> placed before it—Sometimes crude form of the noun used | ib. |

CHAPTER II.

ADJECTIVES.

Adjectives —

| | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Remarks on the adjective—a noun of <i>quality</i> or <i>relation</i> —a noun of <i>state</i> or <i>action</i> —undergoes no change in form to agree with noun it qualifies | 27 |
| Ways in which nouns and verbs used as adjectives . . . | ib. |
| Degrees of comparison:— <i>comparative</i> and <i>superlative</i> degrees | 29 |
| <i>Comparative</i> degree | ib. |
| <i>Superlative</i> degree | ib. |

Numerals —

| | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| Cardinal numerals— <i>adjectival</i> form— <i>substantival</i> form—their uses | 30 |
| Ordinal numerals—method of formation | 31 |
| Distributive numerals—method of formation | 32 |

CHAPTER III.

PRONOUNS.

Personal Pronouns—

Page.

| | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Bear a close family likeness to personal pronouns of the Dravidian languages | 33 |
| Declension | <i>ib.</i> |
| <i>Inclusive</i> and <i>exclusive</i> forms of the first person plural . | <i>ib.</i> |
| Declension of inclusive form <i>aju</i> | <i>ib.</i> |

Reflexive Pronouns—

| | |
|----------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Possess all the characteristics of personal pronouns . . | 35 |
| Declension | <i>ib.</i> |
| Remarks—Plural number wanting in the oblique cases— | |
| Not used in the first and second persons | <i>ib.</i> |

Demonstrative Pronouns—

| | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Relative proximity or remoteness denoted by inflection of the voice in many languages | 36 |
| Demonstrative particles—In themselves adjectives—With the gender suffixes pronouns | <i>ib.</i> |
| Declension | <i>ib.</i> |
| Remarks | 37 |
| Nouns formed on base <i>ta</i> like demonstrative pronouns | <i>ib.</i> |

Interrogative Pronouns—

| | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Two kinds | 38 |
| <i>Ordinary</i> or <i>indefinite</i> interrogatives | <i>ib.</i> |
| Declension of <i>umba'e</i> | <i>ib.</i> |
| Remarks | <i>ib.</i> |
| Declension of <i>ina</i> and <i>inari</i> | <i>ib.</i> |
| Remarks | 39 |
| <i>Definite</i> interrogatives—Adjectives become pronouns by addition of gender and number suffixes | <i>ib.</i> |
| Difference between neuter definite <i>ina</i> and neuter indefinite <i>isto</i> | 40 |

Indefinite Pronouns—

| | |
|---------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Kûi very deficient in expression of indefinite pronouns | 40 |
| Some indefinite pronouns | <i>ib.</i> |
| Some indefinite adjectives | 42 |
| Remarks | <i>ib.</i> |

CONTENTS.

Relative Pronouns—

Page.

| | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| No relative pronoun—Its want supplied by relative or adjectival participle, or interrogative or indefinite pronoun used in correlation with a definite demonstrative, or by splitting up the proposition into question and answer | 42 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|

CHAPTER IV.

VERB.

Functions of the Verbal Root—

| | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| Not a part of speech in itself—Becomes a <i>finite verb</i> , a <i>verbal noun</i> , and a <i>relative</i> or <i>adjectival participle</i> by the agglutination of a particle | 43 |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|

Finite verb—

| | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| Characteristically Dravidian in its structure | 43 |
| Voice—No passive voice—A neuter-passive construction sometimes used | ib. |
| Forms of the verb—Affirmative and negative form | 44 |
| Reservative form | 45 |
| Mood—Manner in which a proposition is stated—Only one mood | ib. |
| <i>Indicative mood</i> —No special mood characteristic | 46 |
| <i>Imperative mood</i> —Suffixes added to verbal root or theme | 47 |
| <i>Conditional mood</i> —Really an adverbial phrase modifying the predicate—Made up of indefinite form of the verb and suffix <i>lā</i> —Adversative form—Negative form | 47-48 |
| <i>Subjunctive mood</i> —Formed by joining present or past verbal participle to indeterminate tense of verb <i>dā</i> , to continue, and appending expletive <i>ma</i> or <i>mare</i> | 48 |
| <i>Optative and precativè or concessive mood</i> —Formed by joining the infinitive to the particle <i>kā</i> , which becomes the base of an appellative verb—negative form—expletives <i>ma</i> and <i>mare</i> —sometimes used instead of the subjunctive | 48-49 |

| | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| Tenses—Expression of time by the addition of temporal particle or tense characteristic | 50 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|

Finite verb—*contd.*

Page.

| | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Tense-form—Both verbs and relative participles formed on tense-form | 50 |
| Class of verbal roots that insert particle <i>t</i> between root or base and tense characteristic | <i>ib.</i> |
| Two tenses: <i>primary</i> and <i>compound</i> | <i>ib.</i> |
| Two primary tenses: <i>indeterminate</i> and <i>past</i> | 51 |
| <i>Indeterminate tense</i> —Expresses future, states general principles or universal truths, and points out customs or habits not yet extinct and regularly recurring actions and events | <i>ib.</i> |
| No special tense characteristic | <i>ib.</i> |
| Absence of tense characteristic implies action signified in root holds good of the future as well as the present and the past | <i>ib.</i> |
| Peculiarity that negative forms drop negative particle <i>a'</i> | <i>ib.</i> |
| <i>Past tense</i> —represents action or state as having taken place without any reference to the time of our speaking. | 52 |
| Also expresses events just happened and past actions having an abiding effect | <i>ib.</i> |
| Past tense characteristic <i>t</i> or <i>tt</i> , and sometimes <i>s</i> or <i>ss</i> | <i>ib.</i> |
| List of verbs forming past tense in <i>s</i> | <i>ib.</i> |
| Irregular verb <i>sal</i> , to go | <i>ib.</i> |
| Four compound tenses: <i>present definite</i> , <i>imperfect</i> , <i>perfect</i> , <i>pluperfect</i> | <i>ib.</i> |
| <i>Present definite</i> —Formation—Expresses action going on in present moment | <i>ib.</i> |
| <i>Imperfect</i> —Formation—Expresses action going on in some past time—Also customs and habits that prevailed at a past time, and recurring actions and events that took place in past time | 53 |
| <i>Perfect</i> —Formation—Implies action is completed and effect still continuing | <i>ib.</i> |
| <i>Pluperfect</i> —Formation—Implies action was completed before some other act | <i>ib.</i> |
| Shortened form of <i>mān</i> , to be or exist, used in the compound tenses | <i>ib.</i> |
| Two other compound tenses formed with verb <i>dā</i> , to continue: <i>present continuative</i> and <i>past continuative</i> | <i>ib.</i> |
| <i>Present continuative</i> —denotes action is being continued at present time | 54 |
| <i>Past continuative</i> —denotes action was being continued at past time—Also to express a habit or custom in the past | <i>ib.</i> |

Finite verb—*concl.d.***Page.**

| | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Gender, number, and person—Denoted by the personal pronominal terminations suffixed to the verbal theme—in some cases shrunk into mere desinences . . . | 54 |
| Tense-form performs two functions | ib. |
| Tense-form becomes finite verb by suffixing proper personal pronominal terminations | ib. |
| Personal pronominal terminations of the finite verb . . . | 55 |
| Indefinite form of the finite verb | 56 |

Verbal Nouns—

| | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Three regularly formed verbal nouns— <i>Infinitive, present verbal participle, and past verbal participle</i> | 56 |
| Formation of verbal nouns by addition of specifying particle to verbal root or theme | ib. |
| Peculiar shape these formatives assume | ib. |
| <i>Infinitive</i> —Formation | 57 |
| Rules for the use of soft and hard forms | ib. |
| Use of hard forms for emphasis or specialisation . . . | 58 |
| Double function of infinitive as noun and as verb . . . | 59 |
| Negative form of infinitive used in idiomatic way as finite verb | ib. |
| <i>Verbal participle</i> —Two verbal participles: <i>present and past</i> | ib. |
| <i>Present verbal participle</i> —Formation—Particles take such fantastic shapes that all attempts to reduce their formation to definite rules unsuccessful | ib. |
| <i>Past verbal participle</i> —Formation—Genesis as obscure as that of present verbal participle | 60 |
| Sometimes forms base of a finite verb with signification different from that of parent verb | ib. |
| This finite verb may be compared with the reservative form in <i>ka</i> and <i>tā</i> | 61 |
| Present and past verbal participles used as adverbs and also to form compound tenses | ib. |
| List of typical verbs | ib. |

Relative or Adjectival Participle—

| | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Marked characteristic of Dravidian languages—Absence of relative pronoun—Its want supplied by relative or adjectival participle and participial noun | 62 |
| Difference between relative and verbal participle . . . | ib. |
| Two primary relative participles: <i>indeterminate</i> and <i>past</i> | 63 |
| Formation of <i>compound tenses</i> | ib. |

| Relative or Adjectival Participle— <i>concl'd.</i> | Page. |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Formation of relative participle analogous to that of adjective | 63 |
| Nasal inserted in indeterminate tense | <i>ib.</i> |
| Negative forms of relative participle | 64 |
| Relative participle retains inherent force of verb | <i>ib.</i> |
| Examples showing manner in which relative clauses rendered in Kūi: by relative participle | <i>ib.</i> |
| By correlation of interrogative and indefinite demonstrative with definite demonstrative | 65 |
| By splitting up proposition into question and answer | <i>ib.</i> |
| Appellative Verbs— | |
| Construction by addition of personal pronominal terminations to noun or adjective | 65 |
| Personal pronominal terminations of appellative verbs | 66 |
| Appellative verb used as appellative noun | <i>ib.</i> |
| The various forms of appellative verb | <i>ib.</i> |
| Relative or adjectival participial noun conjugated like appellative verb | 67 |
| Infinitive with appellative noun formed on <i>gāta</i> expresses meaning different from that of verbal root | <i>ib.</i> |
| Verbal Derivatives or Derivative Nouns— | |
| Their formation | <i>ib.</i> |

CHAPTER V.

ADVERBS.

| | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Adverbs formed in practically the same way as adjectives from nouns and verbs | 69 |
| Nouns of quality or relation in nominative, dative, ablative, locative case used as adverbs | <i>ib.</i> |
| Divided into— | |
| Adverbs of place | <i>ib.</i> |
| Adverbs of time | 70 |
| Adverbs of quantity | <i>ib.</i> |
| Adverbs of manner | <i>ib.</i> |
| Present verbal participle repeated and past verbal participle with relative participial suffixes <i>nai</i> , <i>naika</i> , <i>anaŋga</i> , used as adverbs | <i>ib.</i> |
| Nouns of quality or relations turned into adverbs of manner by adding relative participial suffixes <i>nai</i> , <i>naika</i> , <i>anaŋga</i> | 71 |
| Nouns of quality or relation compounded with past participle of <i>ā</i> , <i>gi</i> , <i>si</i> , become adverbs of manner | <i>ib.</i> |

CHAPTER VI.

CASE SUFFIXES OR POSTPOSITIONS.

| | |
|-------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| Case Suffixes divided into two classes— | <i>Page.</i> |
| Inflectional increment or general oblique case-sign . | 72 |
| Auxiliary case suffix | <i>ib.</i> |
| List of principal auxiliary case suffixes | <i>ib.</i> |

CHAPTER VII.

CONJUNCTIONS.

| | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Kûi weak in its conjunctions | 73 |
| Ways in which words and sentences joined together | <i>ib.</i> |
| Copulative conjunction | <i>ib.</i> |
| Disjunctive conjunction | 74 |
| Conditional conjunction | <i>ib.</i> |
| Causal conjunction | <i>ib.</i> |
| Temporal conjunction | <i>ib.</i> |
| Adversative conjunction | <i>ib.</i> |
| Restrictive conjunction | 75 |
| Comparative conjunction | <i>ib.</i> |
| Final or conclusive conjunction | <i>ib.</i> |

CHAPTER VIII

INTERJECTIONS.

| | |
|--------------------------------------|----|
| List of some interjections | 76 |
|--------------------------------------|----|

PART III.

SYNTAX.

| | |
|------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Arrangement of words in a sentence | 77 |
| Manner in which subordinate clauses are rendered | <i>ib.</i> |
| Relative clause | 78 |
| Conditional clause | <i>ib.</i> |
| Circumstantial clause of time | <i>ib.</i> |
| Causal clause | 79 |
| Final clause | <i>ib.</i> |
| Restrictive clause | 80 |
| Correlative clause of manner | <i>ib.</i> |
| Correlative clause of place | <i>ib.</i> |
| Direct and indirect speech | <i>ib.</i> |

PART I.

LETTERS AND SOUNDS.

As Kūi is a dialect of the Dravidian group of languages and possesses no written characters of its own, it will be expedient to adopt the Dravidian mode of transcription in writing it down in the Roman characters. The letters that will be used are to be pronounced in accordance with the standard system employed for the transcription of Oriental languages by European scholars.

The following are the *Vowels* :—

a, ā ; i, ī ; u, ū ; e, ē ; o, ō.

The sound of each of these vowels will be understood from the following examples:—

| | |
|---|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| a | is pronounced like 'a' in <i>America</i> , e.g., <i>ajji</i> , fearing. |
| ā | „ „ „ 'ā' „ <i>father</i> , e.g., <i>āva</i> , to be or become. |
| i | „ „ „ 'i' „ <i>pin</i> , e.g., <i>idu</i> , house. |
| ī | „ „ „ 'ī' „ <i>pique</i> , e.g., <i>giva</i> , to do. |
| u | „ „ „ 'u' „ <i>put</i> , e.g., <i>unba</i> , to drink. |
| ū | „ „ „ 'ū' „ <i>brute</i> , e.g., <i>pūnba</i> , to know. |
| e | „ „ „ 'e' „ <i>met</i> , e.g., <i>teka</i> , from. |
| ē | „ „ „ 'ā' „ <i>mate</i> , e.g., <i>nēgi</i> , good. |
| o | „ „ „ the first 'o' in <i>promote</i> , e.g., <i>ofe</i> , and. |
| ō | „ „ „ the second 'o' in <i>promote</i> , e.g., <i>kōg</i> small. |

It will be noticed there is a *short e* and a *long e* and a *short o* and a *long o* as in all the Dravidian languages.

The long vowels will be invariably marked thus—*ā*. When no such accent is placed over a vowel, it is to be pronounced short.

When a word ends in a vowel, especially in *a* or *u*, the final vowel is generally short, e.g., *aba*, a father ; *idu*, a house.

Short *u* frequently appears at the end of a word as a mere enunciative particle, e.g., Tamil *min*, a fish, becomes *minu* in Kūi.

Sometimes the particle *ju* is used as the enunciative, *e.g.*, *pū-ju*, a flower, as compared with the Tamil *pū* (colloquial Tamil *pū-vu*).

Short *i* is used in a similar manner in the adjectives and the appellative and relative participial nouns, *e.g.*, *kōg-i*, small; *kōga-v-i*, small ones; *e-v-i*, these things.

Contiguous vowels retain their radical sounds in Kūi, *e.g.*, *ia*, mother; *rāi*, coming; *gia*, done.

The euphonic copula *v* is sometimes inserted between two vowels to prevent hiatus, *e.g.*, *e-v-anju* or *e-anju*, he. The semi-vowel *y* may also be interposed between two vowels to keep them separate, *e.g.*, *i-y-a*, mother; *rā-y-i*, coming; *gi-y-a*, done.

The Consonants are:—

| | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-----|-------------|---------------|--------------|
| Gutturals | ... | k. | g. | ṇ. |
| Palatals | ... | s (ch). | j. | nj. |
| Cerebrals | ... | ṭ. | ḍ. | ṇ. |
| Dentals | ... | t. | d. | n. |
| Labials | ... | p. | b or v. | m. |
| Liquid consonants or semi-vowels. | } | y, r, l, v: | ṛ (cerebral), | ḷ (cerebral) |
| Sibilant | ... | s. | | |
| Aspirate | ... | h. | | |

The consonants are not aspirated in Kūi, and consequently there are no sounds like *kh*, *gh*, &c.

Although a characteristic of all the Dravidian languages is the absence of the aspirate—a characteristic in which Kūi shares when it refuses to utter the *h* sound in words borrowed from Uriya or Hindi, *e.g.*, *alea*, a farm servant, from Uriya *halia*; *kōṇḍe*, a piece, from Uriya *khōṇḍo*, still there are instances peculiar to Kūi of an aspirate appearing: *first*, in the middle of certain words; and *secondly*, as the final consonant in another class of monosyllabic words.

In the first class of words like *dēha*, much, many; *vīha*, thatching grass; *vēha*, boiled rice; *plaha*, paddy plant, the aspirate sound *h* is probably a liquid guttural, for the word *vīha* is also found in another form, namely, *viga*; and *plaha* seems to be from the same root as *pla-(n)-g-a-ri*, a nursery bed for paddy seedlings—that is to say, from *plag*.

In the second class of words--and it does not appear that these words are of Indo-Aryan origin--the aspirate sound *h* is checked or stopped in a curious abrupt manner by a sudden sharp stoppage of the breath just before the word or syllable is completely uttered. This checked or stopped sound will be represented by the sign--' placed after the aspirate, *e.g.*, *dh'*, to catch; *mēh'*, to see; *ah'*, to beat. These words are pronounced somewhat like *dkh*, *mēgh*, *akh*, respectively; but there is hardly more than the merest suggestion of a *k* or *g* sound.

Dr. Caldwell in remarking on the use of the aspirate in Tamil says--"It professes to possess a letter, half vowel, half consonant, corresponding in some respects to the Sanskrit *visarga*, and called *āydam* (that which is subtle, minute). It is pronounced like a guttural *h*, but is only found in the poets, and is generally considered a pedantical invention of the grammarians." The sound *h* in Kūi appears to bear a resemblance to the *āydam* of the Tamil grammarians.

The checked or stopped sound of the final aspirate--or a sound similar to it--occurs in Kūi in certain agglutinations with both vowels and consonants, *e.g.*, *gi'ī*, I do; *vēs'ī*, I speak. When the theme ends in a consonant there is a tendency to suffix an euphonic vowel to sustain the abrupt sound. For instance the sound is always found at the end of the theme before the personal pronominal termination is added in the first person, indeterminate tense, indicative mood, affirmative form, of the finite verb, *e.g.*, *gi'ī*, I do. Should the root end in a consonant, *e.g.*, *kak*, to laugh, the theme is formed by suffixing the vowel to the root, and the verb becomes *kak-ī'ī*, I laugh. On the other hand in all the persons and both numbers of the indeterminate tense, indicative mood, negative form, of the finite verb, the root generally remains as the theme even when it ends in a consonant, and the negative particle *a'*, on being suffixed to the theme, disappears or becomes coalesced with the pronominal desinences and leaves only the abrupt sound added to the theme, *e.g.*, *kak'enu*, I do not laugh; *kak'ai*, thou dost not laugh; *kak'enju*, he does not laugh. When the root ends in a nasal the *n* is in some cases thrown back on the vowel that precedes it, *e.g.*, *tin*, to eat, gives *tī'ī*, I eat; but *tin'enu*, I do not eat.

The abrupt sound in this class of words probably had its origin in the endeavour to keep the agglutinated syllables separate. This is seen clearly in the word *la'a*, a maiden, which is also pronounced as *la-v-a*. The insertion of the euphonic copula *v* prevents the hiatus which is so marked in the first form of the word.

The checked or stopp'd sound takes place invariably with the negative particle *a'* in Kūi. Dr. Caldwell is of opinion that the original form of the Dravidian negative particle *ai* or *a* was *a*, the short vowel. The short vowel *a* evidently became *a'* in Kūi on account of the necessity that was felt in an unwritten language to keep this particle distinct and separate from the other syllables of the agglutinated word in which it enters.

The palatal surd is usually pronounced as *s*, and it is almost a sibilant. The *ch* sound in words borrowed from Uriya or Hindi is also uttered as *s*. But sometimes the full *ch* sound appears in some Kūi words in the East Kandh Māls and Gūmsar dialects, *e.g.*, *gochi* or *gosi*, a sept.

The palatal sonant *j* is a well marked sound in Kūi. It frequently replaces the cerebral *ḍ*, *e.g.*, Telugu *nāḍu*, the country, becomes *nāju*, a village ; Telugu *paṇḍi*, a pig, becomes *paji*.

The palatal nasal is never *n mouillé* : it is really a double sound *nj*—not *ny* ; and accordingly it will be represented by the double letters *nj*.

The labial sonant *b* is very frequently pronounced as *v*, *e.g.*, *ba* or *ra*, to come.

The cerebral *ṛ* and the cerebral *ḷ* are characteristic Dravidian sounds. The sound of *ṛ* resembles that of the *r* in the English word *farm*, but it is pronounced further back in the mouth, *e.g.*, *naṛi*, fire. The related sound *ḷ* is uttered by turning up the tip of the tongue high up in the palate, *e.g.*, *ēḷu*, wisdom or mind. Together with the cerebrals *ṛ*, *ḷ*, and *ṇ* they are well marked in their pronunciation. But there is a tendency in Kūi as in Telugu for the cerebrals to be softened down to their corresponding dentals, *e.g.*, Tamil *kaṇ* and Canarese *kaṇṇu*, the eye, become *kannu* in Kūi and *kannu* in Telugu.

The sibilant *s* is sometimes prefixed to words beginning with a vowel, *e.g.*, *sēḷu* or *sēḷu*, wisdom ; *oṭe* or *soṭe*, and or again.

In the west Kandh Māls dialect the initial *s* in some words is pronounced as *h*, *e.g.*, *halmū*, go, instead of *salmū*.

There are signs in Kūi of nunnation or nasalisation as in the Dravidian languages, *e.g.*, from the root *pag*, to divide, we get *pa-ni-g-e-ni* the thing divided, namely, a plank.

In accordance with the laws of euphony certain changes take place in the nasal letters :—

- (i) When a word or syllable ending in a nasal is abruptly checked in sound, the nasal is sometimes thrown back on the vowel that immediately precedes it, and the vowel becomes nasalised, *e.g.*, *mān-ba*, to be or exist, *mā'ĩ*, I am or I exist ; *ĩn-ba*, to eat, *ĩ'ĩ*, I eat.
- (ii) When a word or syllable ending in a nasal agglutinates to itself a suffix the nasal is sometimes, but not always, transferred to the vowel that immediately precedes it and the vowel becomes nasalised, *e.g.*, *prē-nu*, a bone, becomes *prē̃-ka*, bones ; and *ēān-ju*, a finger, *ēā̃-s-ka*, fingers ; but *pen-u*, a god, has for its plural *pen-ka* ; and *mīn-u*, a fish, *mīn-ka*.

Accent in Kūi, as in the other Dravidian languages, is on the syllable that constitutes the *base* of the word prior to the addition of formatives and inflexional forms, *e.g.*, in *gĩ-ppk-i-nenju*, he is doing, the accent is on *gĩppk*.

CLASSES OF NOUNS.

PART II.

CHAPTER I.

THE NOUNS.

CLASSES OF NOUNS.

WE shall first of all examine the kinds or classes of nouns there are in Kūi, as otherwise the rules for gender and number will not be understood clearly.

There are four classes of nouns—

- (1) Substantive nouns.
- (2) Nouns of agency.
- (3) Verbal nouns.
- (4) Derivative nouns or verbal derivatives.

Substantive nouns are the names of rational and irrational beings and inanimate objects, *e.g.*, *aba*, father; *kōfi*, cow; *idu* house.

Nouns of agency are compound words formed on a nominal or verbal base by the addition of certain suffixes. They divide themselves into two classes: (i) appellative nouns, and (ii) relative participial nouns, accordingly as they are formed on a *nominal* or *verbal* base respectively.

The *appellative nouns* (in which are included the *personal pronouns* of the third person) express the *quality* or *relation* implied in the root-word as existing concretely in a being or agent, that is, a person or an animal or a thing, *e.g.*, from the nominal root *kōg*, small, we get the following appellative nouns:—

kōg-a-nju, a small male rational being, namely, a boy;

kōg-a-ru, small male rational beings, namely, boys;

kōg-a-ri, a small being, namely, (i) a girl, (ii) a small animal of either sex, (iii) a small thing;

kōg-a-vi, small beings, namely, (i) girls, (ii) small animals of either sex, (iii) small things.

Similarly, from the demonstrative nominal root *i*, this, we get the following demonstrative pronouns, or personal pronouns of the third person :—

i-v-anju, this male rational being, namely, *he* ;

i-v-aru, these male rational beings, namely, *they* ;

i-ri, this being—a woman or an animal or a thing, namely, *she* or *it* ;

i-vi, these beings—women or animals or things, namely, *they*.

The *relative participial nouns* express the *state* or *action* implied in the root-word as existing concretely in a being or agent, *e.g.*, from the present relative participle *gini*, the doing (something), we get the following relative participial nouns :—

gin-a-nju, the male rational being that does, namely, the *man* or *boy that does* ;

gin-a-ru, the male rational beings that do, namely, *men* or *boys that do* ;

gin-a-ri, the being that does, namely, the *woman*, or *girl*, or *animal*, or *thing that does* ;

gin-a-vi, the beings that do, namely, the *women*, or *girls*, or *animals*, or *things that do* ;

We shall see later on in the chapter on the Verb that these appellative and relative participial nouns are conjugated for the various persons, *e.g.*—

kōg-a-nu, I the small boy ;

kōg-a-ti, or *kōg-a-n-ti*, thou the small boy ;

gin-a-mu, we who do ;

gin-a-teru, or *gin-a-n-teru*, you who do.

It is important to bear in mind the formation of the appellative and relative participial nouns in order to understand what gender and number are in the Kūi language.

Verbal nouns express the state or the act implied in the verbal root in itself, *e.g.*, *mānba*, the state of being ; *gīva*, the act of doing.

Derivative nouns or *verbal derivatives* are nouns formed from a verbal root, *e.g.*, *kō-mbo*, a sept, from the root *kō*, to cut.

GENDER.

The formation of the verbal nouns and derivative nouns has been indicated in the Introduction, and will be referred to again in the chapter on the Verb.

GENDER.

In the primitive Dravidian tongue, substantive nouns, that is, the names of living beings and inanimate objects, did not possess in themselves any inherent sign of gender as such. With the exception of a few rational nouns, like man and woman, father and mother, brother and sister, which are so instinct with personality that they connote sex in their very signification, all nouns were neuter or, strictly speaking, without gender. When it was necessary to distinguish between the sexes of living beings some word equivalent to 'male' and 'female' was prefixed to the noun. This older method of distinguishing sex—and it is something different from grammatical gender—is still found in modern Tamil and Malayalam and Canarese as in Kūi *e.g.*, Tamil : *āṇ kuṇṇḍei*, a boy, *peṇ kuṇṇḍei*, a girl; Malayalam : *āṇ peidaḷ*, a boy, *peṇ peidaḷ*, a girl; Kūi : *mṛṇḥ' miḍa*, a boy, *āsa miḍa*, a girl. This older method also is the only one that exists for marking the difference of sex in irrational beings in all the modern Dravidian languages, including Kūi *e.g.*, Kūi : *pōṛa pāji*, a boar, *tali pāji*, a sow; *ṭeḍra kōju*, a cock; *taḍi kōju*, a hen.

In the second class of nouns the suffix denoting the being or agent in which the quality or relation (appellative noun) or state or action (relative participial noun) implied in the root-word is made to exist concretely takes two forms as we have seen : one for *male rational beings*, and the other for all the rest, namely, *female rational beings, irrational beings of both sexes and inanimate objects*, and also the *deities of both sexes*. The same distinction between male rational beings and all the other beings and things is observed in the personal desinences of the finite verb in the third person.

From this it will be evident that there are two grammatical genders in Kūi :—

- (1) the *masculine*, for male rational beings alone, and
- (2) the *neuter*, or properly *neutral*, for all the rest, namely, female rational beings, irrational beings, inanimate objects, and the deities.

The suffixes implying being are :—

| | Singular. | Plural. |
|------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|
| <i>Masculine</i> | ... <i>anju, anju.</i> | <i>aru, aru.</i> |
| <i>Neuter</i> | ... <i>ri, or li.</i> | <i>vi, (u and a, rarely).</i> |

The essential difference between the masculine and neuter suffixes is in this that the former connotes, in addition to the signification of a being in general, the idea of a personality of the male sex, whereas the latter does not carry any connotation of gender at all.

Although there is no grammatical feminine gender in Kūi, nevertheless there are signs of a feminine singular that resembles the feminine singular of Tamil, Malayalam, and Canarese.

Now as a general rule the feminine singular of the appellative and relative participial nouns as well as of the predicative verbs in the third person in Kūi is identical in form with the neuter or neutral, but still there is a special case in which the purely feminine singular suffix *ali* or *āli* is used in certain compounds as a feminine and cannot be replaced by the ordinary neuter or neutral suffix *ri*, *e.g.*—

kū-ali, a Kandh woman, never *kū-ari* or *kū-ri*.

mrēh'-āli, a wife or woman, never *mrēh'-ari* or *mrēh'-ri*.

There are two appellative nouns in Kūi in which the being implied in the noun can only be a rational being. They are *mrī-enju*, a son, and *mrāu*, a daughter; and *lāv-enju*, a youth, and *lā'a* or *lā-r-a*, a maiden. It is curious that the feminine form of these words does not add the rudimentary feminine suffix *ali* or *āli*.

In the patois spoken in the West Kandh Māls and Chinna Kimdi the more commonly used form of the third person singular neuter of the personal pronoun, namely, *eri*, a woman, or animal, or thing, becomes *eli*. Similarly, *li* is the suffix employed to form appellative and relative participial nouns to signify both female rational beings as well as irrational beings and inanimate objects instead of the more common *ri* of the East Kandh Māls and Gūmsar dialects.

The interchange of *r* and *l* is only a dialectical peculiarity. The *l* of the West Kandh Māls and Chinna Kimdi dialects does not appear to be radically the same as the rudimentary feminine

gender suffix *ali* or *āli* in which the vowel is a part of the root. An examination of the construction of the demonstrative or personal pronouns of the third person will show this: the masculine *evanju* is a compound of *e* (*demons. particle*)+*v* (*euphonic particle*)+*anju* (*masc. gender suffix*), which is equivalent to *evanju* or *evānju*, but the neuter *eri*, *eli*, is a compound of *e* (*demons. particle*)+*ri* or *li* (*neuter gender suffix*). A fully developed feminine form corresponding to the masculine would have been *e* (*demons. particle*)+*v* (*euphonic particle*)+*ali* or *āli* (*fem. gender suffix*), namely, *erali* or *evāli*, which does not exist.

As the feminine of appellative and relative participial nouns both in the singular and plural is indistinguishable from the neuter in Kūi, an explanatory phrase has to be introduced sometimes to distinguish between women and animals or things when the meaning is not clear from the context. For instance, the word *nājutaska* means literally—those in the village (*neuter*); but generally it signifies—the women of the village, *e.g.*, *nājutaska vatu*, —the women of the village came. However, the expression may also mean—the *animals* of the village or the *gods* of the village came. If the listener did not understand the sentence correctly, it would have to be made clear in some such way as this: “You know the animals (or gods) of the village? Well, they of the village (*nājutaska*) came.”

Although there are only two genders in Kūi—a masculine and a neuter or neutral, there are various ways of distinguishing the sex of living beings:—

- (i) By the use of words that connote sex in themselves, *e.g.*,
aba, father, *ia*, mother; *ambēsa*, younger brother, *būdi*,
 younger sister.
- (ii) By prefixing a word indicating sex in the case of both
 rational and irrational beings, *e.g.*—
mrēh' lōku, a man, *āsa lōku*, a woman;
pōra pāji, a boar, *tali pāji*, a sow;
tedra kōju, a cock, *taḍi kōju*, a hen.
- (iii) By the use of suffixes to distinguish a male rational
 being from all other beings, *e.g.*—
mrēh'enju, a man or husband;
mrēh'ali, a woman or wife;
kōg-anju, a boy;
kōg-ari, a girl, or small animal, or small thing.

The last mode as we have seen is imperfect because no distinction is drawn (1) between women and animals and things, and (2) between the sexes of animals.

Although the generic signification of the suffixes in nouns of agency is that of a being or agent without any specification of sex, still it will be convenient to call the suffixes the *gender* suffixes to distinguish them from the purely *number* suffixes with which they will be treated in the next section.

NUMBER.

In Tamil irrational and inanimate nouns are rarely pluralised in ordinary conversation, the singular form of the noun being used in an indefinite singular-plural sense, and the idea of plurality being left to be understood from the context. In Telugu it is otherwise, and all irrational and inanimate nouns are pluralised as regularly as rational nouns. Kūi follows the practice of Telugu and pluralises all its nouns.

Accordingly there are two numbers in Kūi: (1) the *singular* and (2) the *plural*.

Singular number.

There is no special sign or suffix to indicate the singular number, and the singular is therefore—

- (i) the root, including the formative if any, with or without the gender suffix, and
- (ii) the theme or inflexional base with the addition of the gender suffix.

The gender suffixes are:—

Masouline.
anju, anju.

Neuter.
ri, li, di.

The employment of the gender suffix is regulated as follows:—

(1) *Rational substantive nouns* that connote a personality in themselves and therefore sex, as for instance the words expressing family relation, do not generally add the gender suffix, *e.g.*—

aba, a father;
ia, a mother;
ambēsa, a younger brother;
būḍi, a younger sister

The forms *aba-nyu*, *ia-li*, etc., are really appellative verbs of the third person, as we shall see in the chapter on the Verb.

(2) *All other substantive nouns* as such, that is, the names of irrational beings and inanimate objects, do not add the gender suffix, as singularity is sufficiently indicated by the form of the words themselves, *e.g.*—

kōḍi, a bull or cow.

pāji, a pig.

mānu, a fish.

iḍu, a house.

(3) The *appellative* and *relative participial nouns* always add to the root or theme the gender suffixes, *e.g.*—

| Root. | Euphonic particle. | Inflectional particle. | Suffix. |
|-------|-----------------------|---------------------------|---------|
|-------|-----------------------|---------------------------|---------|

| | | | |
|-------------|-----------|-------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| <i>kōg-</i> | <i>a-</i> | <i>anju</i> | a boy. |
| <i>kōg-</i> | <i>a-</i> | <i>ri</i> | } a girl, a small animal, a small thing. |
| | | <i>li</i> | |
| <i>ḍit-</i> | <i>a-</i> | <i>anju</i> | a man that fell down. |
| <i>ḍit-</i> | <i>a-</i> | <i>ri</i> | } a woman, or animal, or thing that fell down. |
| | | <i>li</i> | |
| <i>e-</i> | <i>v-</i> | <i>anju</i> | he. |
| <i>e-</i> | | <i>ri</i> | } she or it (woman, animal or thing). |
| | | <i>li</i> | |

The suffix *ḍi* instead of *ri* or *li* appears in a few words in the East Kandh Māls and Gūmsar dialects, *e.g.*, *nāju-taḍi*, a woman of the village (or a deity, or animal, or thing of the village).

Plural number.

The plural is formed by adding suffixes that imply plurality to the root or the theme.

The suffixes of plurality are of two kinds:—

- (i) those with a fundamental signification of plurality, and
- (ii) those with a signification of plurality as well as of a being or agent, that is to say, the plural forms of what we have termed the gender suffixes.

The merely plural suffixes are:—

nga, the soft or ordinary form with the nasal inserted euphonicallly.

ka or *kka*, the hardened, and the hardened and doubled form, respectively, of *nga* without the nasal.
ska, the euphonic form of *kka*.

The gender suffixes are:—

Masculine.

aru, *āru*.

Neuter.

vi, *u*, *a*.

The three forms *vi*, *u*, and *a*, of the plural neuter gender suffix appear to be radically the same as the common Dravidian neuter plural suffix *a*. The *v* in the first or most common form *vi* is an euphonic consonant inserted between the base and the real suffix *i* to prevent hiatus. The suffix *i* itself appears to be a weakened form of the plural particle *a*. The forms *u* and *a* are used very rarely and appear to be the older forms. Dr. Caldwell remarks on the tendency of the pluralising particle short *a* to be weakened into *e*, *u*, *ei*, and *i*. The plural gender suffixes are therefore really a pluralising particle in their origin: they are not gender suffixes in the same sense as the plural masculine gender suffixes *aru*, *āru*, are gender suffixes.

The rules to be observed in the use of the various plural number and gender suffixes are somewhat confusing, as they are not symmetrical:—

(1) *Rational substantive nouns* that connote sex in themselves add to the root—

(i) When *male rational* beings, only the plural masculine suffix *aru*, e.g.—

| Root. | Inflection. | Suffix. |
|----------------|-------------|--------------------------------|
| <i>aba-</i> | | <i>aru</i> , fathers. |
| <i>ambēsa-</i> | | <i>aru</i> , younger brothers. |

(ii) When *female rational* beings—

(a) The plural number suffix *ska*, and

(b) A combination of the singular neuter suffix *ri* or *li* with *ska*, e.g.—

| Root. | Inflection. | Suffix. |
|--------------|-------------|----------------------------------------------|
| <i>ia-</i> | | <i>ska</i> <i>ri-ska</i> <i>li-ska</i> |
| | | } mothers. |
| <i>baḍi-</i> | | <i>ska</i> <i>ri-ska</i> <i>li-ska</i> |
| | | } younger sisters. |

(2) *Neuter substantive nouns*, namely, the names of irrational beings (including the deities) and inanimate objects, add the merely plural number suffix *nga* or *ka* or *kka* or sometimes *ska* to the root, *e.g.*—

| Root. | Inflection. | Suffix. |
|----------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|
| <i>kōḍi-</i> | <i>nga</i> <i>ska</i> | } cattle. |
| <i>mēn(u)-</i> | <i>ka</i> | |
| <i>iḍ(u)-</i> | <i>(iṭṭ)-ka</i> <i>(iḍu)-nga</i> | } fishes. |
| <i>nakuri-</i> | <i>ska</i> <i>nga</i> | |
| <i>vāḍi-</i> | <i>nga</i> <i>ska</i> | } houses. |
| <i>pēṇ(u)</i> | <i>ka</i> | |
| | | } dogs. |
| | | } stones. |
| | | } gods. |

(3) *Appellative nouns* excepting the personal pronouns of the third person add the following plural number or gender suffixes to the theme—

(i) When *male rational* beings—

(a) the plural masculine suffix *aru*,

(b) the plural number suffix *nga* or *ka*,

(c) a compound of *aru* and *nga* or *ka*, or *aru* and *nga* and *ka*, or *nga* and *ka* alone, *e.g.*—

| Root. | Inflection. | Suffix. |
|-------------|-------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <i>kōḡ-</i> | <i>a-</i> | <i>aru</i> <i>nga</i> |
| <i>mri-</i> | | <i>(ē)ru</i> <i>nga</i> <i>ka</i> <i>nga-ru</i> <i>ka-ru</i> <i>ka-nga</i> <i>ka-nga-ru</i> |
| | | } boys. |
| | | } sons. |

The example *mrieru* happens to be a typical word to illustrate the compound plurals which are so peculiarly a characteristic of all the Dravidian languages.

(ii) When *female rational* beings—

- (a) the plural neuter suffix *vi*,
- (b) the plural number suffix *ska*,
- (c) a compound of *ri* or *li* and *ska*,
- (d) a compound of *vi* and *ska*, *e.g.*—

| Root. | Inflection. | Suffix. | |
|-------|-------------|---------------|----------|
| kōg- | a- | <i>vi</i> | } girls. |
| | | <i>ska</i> | |
| | | <i>ri-ska</i> | |
| | | <i>li-ska</i> | |
| | | <i>vi-ska</i> | |

(iii) When *irrational* beings—

- (a) the neuter plural suffix *vi*, *e.g.*—

Root. Inflection. Suffix.

kōg- a- *vi*, small animals.

(iv) When *inanimate* objects—

- (a) the plural neuter suffix *vi*.
- (b) the plural number suffix *u* or *a*, *e.g.*—

Root. Inflection. Suffix

| | | | |
|------|----|-----------|-----------------|
| kōg- | a- | <i>vi</i> | } small things. |
| | | <i>u</i> | |
| | | <i>a</i> | |

In the following example the uncommon form of the plural neuter gender suffix *u* is used idiomatically instead of the ordinary form *vi*:—

Vadinga tā'i? *Ā, kōgi kōga-u*,—Shall I bring the stones?

Yes, small ones, *lit.* small small-ones.

(4) *Relative participial nouns* add the following plural gender suffixes and number suffixes:—

(i) When *male rational* beings—

- (a) the plural masculine suffix *ru* alone, *e.g.*—

Root. Inflection Suffix.

dīt- a- *ru*, the men that fell down.

(ii) When *female rational* beings, *irrational* beings, or *inanimate* objects—

- (a) The plural neuter suffix *vi* alone, *e.g.*—

Root. Inflection. Suffix.

dīt- a- *vi*, the women, or animals, or things that fell down.

(5) The *demonstrative* or *personal pronouns* of the third person form their plural somewhat differently from the appellative nouns by adding the following plural gender and number suffixes to the demonstrative particles:—

(i) When *male rational* beings—

(a) the plural masculine suffix *ru* alone, *e.g.*—

Root. Inflection. Suffix.

e- (*v* euphonic) *a-* *aru*, they.

(ii) When *female rational* beings—

(a) the plural neuter suffix *vi*,

(b) a compound of *ri* or *li* and *ska*, and

(c) a compound of *vi* and *ska*, *e.g.*—

Root. Inflection. Suffix.

| | | |
|-----------|---------------|---------|
| <i>e-</i> | <i>vi</i> | } they. |
| | <i>ri-ska</i> | |
| | <i>li-ska</i> | |
| | <i>vi-ska</i> | |

(iii) When *irrational* beings and *inanimate* objects—

(a) the plural neuter suffix *ri* alone, *e.g.*—

Root. Inflection. Suffix.

e- *ri*, they.

Although a distinction in form has been drawn between female rational beings and animals or things in the appellative and relative participial nouns, it is not to be understood that the rule is a hard and fast one. There is a tendency to discriminate between female rational beings and animals or things, but it would not be a grammatical mistake to use one form for the other, *e.g.*, *kōgaviska* may be used for small animals and small things as well as for girls.

It is to be noted that Kūi instead of using the plural masculine suffix *aru* with an epicene or common gender signification as in the literary Dravidian languages employs one of the doubled and hardened forms of the purely plural suffix *nga*, namely, *ska*, to pluralise rational substantive nouns that represent female beings, *e.g.*, *ia*, mother, *ia-ska*, mothers; *laḍi*, younger sister, *būḍi-ska*, younger sisters.

We saw in the Introduction the characteristic Dravidian law of doubling and at the same time hardening a sonant to its corresponding surd for the purpose of expressing the transition

of an action, etc. The principle underlying the law is found in the use of the doubled and hardened form of the particle of plurality, namely, *kka* for the sake of emphasis on or specialisation of the meaning of the word to which it is suffixed as the following examples will show :—

Viḍuṅga āh'hanai gōsaki vādūrē,—Take your bows and come to the forest.

But, *uh! ciṭṭka āh'hanai gōsaki vādūrē*,—Uh! Come with your bows. I called out to you to come with your bows, and here you are coming with sticks only.

Kōḍiṅgani pēh'mū,—drive away the bullocks.

But, *uh! iṅgi kōḍiskani āngina*,—Uh! How shall we manage these *wicked* or *unruly* bullocks—an exclamation frequently uttered by a ploughman.

Another example is seen in the infinitive mood of the verb :
Kāmagiṅva taṅgi nājutari ārkāmū,—go call the villagers to work.

But, *uh! kāmagippka taṅgi nājutari ārkāmūrē*,—Uh! I told you to call the villagers *to work*, for what else do you think I want them.

Now the sound *k* is very liable to be softened into *ch* or its equivalent *s* in the Dravidian languages, e.g., *kōg*, small, in Kūi is *kinna* in Canarese, but *chinna* in Telugu and *sinna* in Tamil. Accordingly, the rule we get in Kūi is that when *kka* is added to a noun to emphasise or specialise its signification the first *k* is softened to *s* when the noun ends in a vowel.

As rational substantive nouns that are the names of female beings usually end in a vowel, the emphasised or specialised form of the plural suffix *kka*, which is the only form used with these nouns, becomes *ska*, e.g., *ia-ska*, mothers ; *būḷi-ska*, younger sisters ; *bai-ska*, elder sisters ; *mrāu-ska*, daughters.

The emphasised or specialised form of the plural suffix *ska* is also used as we have seen to distinguish more or less women from animals and things in the nouns of agency.

A curious instance of the frequent use of the particle *ska* with a substantive noun that is not the name of a woman is the case of *nākuri*, a dog. The ordinary plural of *nākuri* is *nākuringa* ; but as the word is often employed as a term of abuse both for human beings and dogs, the plural form of the word

is generally *nākuri-ska* even when no emphasis is meant, and *nākuri-nga* is scarcely ever heard.

Some substantive nouns of the neuter gender undergo modifications in their root or theme before adding the plural suffixes. The following are the principal modifications:—

- (i) Some nouns ending in *u*, which appears to be an enunciative vowel, drop the *u* before adding the plural suffix, *e.g.*—

kā-u, fruit ; *plu. kā-nga.*
prā-u, rice ; *plu. prā-nga.*
mīn-u, fish ; *plu. mīn-ka.*
pri-u, worm ; *plu. pri-ka.*
tā-u, head ; *plu. tā-ka.*
kan-u, eye ; *plu. kan-ka.*

The plural of *tūtū*, stomach, is *tūtunga* as well as *tūt-ka*.

- (ii) Some nouns ending in *ju* drop the *ju* before adding the plural suffix, *e.g.*—

ū-ju, flesh ; *plu. ū-nga.*
kā-ju, hand ; *plu. kā-ka.*
pierē-ju, a stream ; *plu. pierē-ka.*

But, *pū-ju*, flower, has for its plural *pū-ju-nga* as well as *pū-nga* or *pūss-ka*. *Dān-ju*, the moon, has *dān-ju-nga*.

- (iii) Some nouns ending in *ju*, *du*, *du*, where the *j*, *d*, *ḍ* are a part of the root or theme and the final vowel an enunciative short *u* or *a*, drop the final vowel and double and harden the sonant which ends the word to its corresponding surd when the hard form of the plural suffix is added, *e.g.*—

kū-ju, a fowl ; *plu. kū-ss-ka.*
sū-ju, a sore ; *plu. sū-ss-ka.*

But *nī-ju*, oil, has for its plural *nī-ss-ka* or *nī-j-ka*. Some other examples are—

mā-ḍu, a hare ; *plu. mā-tt-ka.*
drā-ḍu, a calf ; *plu. drā-tt-ka.*
rā-ḍu, a kite ; *plu. rā-tt-ka.*
en-ḍu, a dance ; *plu. en-tt-ka.*

- (iv) Some nouns ending in *nu* drop the final vowel and transfer the *n* to the preceding vowel or consonant which becomes nasalised when the hard plural suffix is added, *e.g.*--

prē-nu, a bone; *plu. p-ē-ka*.

But, *pē-nu*, a gods, has *pē-n-ka* for its plural; *mrāh'nu*, a tree, has *mrāh'ka* for its plural (compare ancient Canarese *maram*, a tree; *plu. mara-gai*).

- (v) Some nouns ending in *nju* drop the final *u* and double and harden the *j* into *ss*, and transfer the nasal to the vowel or consonant preceding the *j* when the hard plural suffix is added, *e.g.*—

vā-n-ju, a finger; *plu. vā-ss-ka*.

- (vi) Some nouns ending in final *i* drop the *i* before adding the plural suffix, *e.g.*—

jōeli, maize; *plu. jōel-ka*.

paheri, road; *plu. paher-ka*.

But, *mūngeli*, nose; *plu. mūngeli-ka*.

And, *rāngōsi*, tongue; *plu. rāngōsi-ka*.

CASE.

For the purpose of expressing the case relations of a proposition, that is, of marking the particular function a noun or pronoun performs in a proposition—such for instance, as its being the subject of the sentence (nominative case), or the direct object of the predicative verb (accusative case), etc.,—the noun or pronoun in Kūi agglutinates to itself certain auxiliary words or particles which grammarians call the postpositions or case-suffixes or the case-signs.

The case-suffixes in several instances still retain traces of their original character as auxiliary nouns, but a few of them have dwindled down to mere case-signs or desinences as the Dative case-suffix *ki*.

In the Dravidian languages the case-suffixes are added to what Dr. Caldwell calls 'the inflexional base of the noun,' namely, 'that form a noun assumes when it qualifies or is qualified by a subsequent noun, or when it stands to such noun in the relation of an adjective.'

The inflexional base of the noun in Kūi is sometimes the same as the crude base or natural form, that is to say, the form in which it appears in the nominative case both in the singular and in the plural. In the other cases the inflexional base is obtained (i) by a modification in form of the crude base, *e.g.*, shortening the included vowel or dropping the enunciative particle, and (ii) by the addition of a particle, namely, the inflexional increment or augmentation, to the crude base.

The formation of the inflexional base by a modification in form of the crude base is seen in the personal pronouns of the first and second persons where the included vowel of the theme is shortened, *e.g.*, *ānu*, I; but *ana-ni*, of me.

The second mode of forming the inflexional base by the addition of an inflexional increment is as common in Kūi as in the literary Dravidian languages.

The inflexional increments in Kūi are—

ni (compare Telugu *ni* and *na*),

ti (compare Telugu *ti* or *ti*),

i (compare Telugu *i*),

a (compare Telugu *a*),

and their various combinations as—

ni + *i*, or *nī*, *ti* + *ni*, *i* + *i*, or *ī*, *etc.*

The particle *ni* in Kūi is generally suffixed to a noun when it represents an object near at hand or in view of the speaker or the person spoken to, *e.g.*, *kōḍi-ni pēh'mā*—Drive away the cow, namely, *the one here before us*. On the other hand, *ti* is used when the object is some distance away or not in view of the speaker or the person spoken to, *e.g.*, *gōsa-ki sāsenju, sājanai kṛāṇḍi-ti vih'tenju*,—He went to the forest and shot a tiger, namely, *the tiger that was there*; but *vādū vādū kṛāṇḍi-ni mēh'dū*,—Come and see the tiger, namely, *the one he has shot*.

As Kūi is not a literary language, the difference in use between *ni* and *ti* is not strictly observed.

The particles *i* and *a* are used generally as the inflexional increments for the masculine and neuter gender respectively of appellative and relative participial nouns.

As the inflexional increment is the hinge on which the case suffixes are attached, the inflexional base might be called the *general oblique case* of the noun.

General Oblique Case.

The general oblique case is—

(1) Of substantive nouns of both genders:—

(i) the crude base, and

(ii) the inflexional base in *ni* or *ti*, or sometimes in *i*, or a compound of two or three of them, *e.g.*, *dāda*, elder brother; *crude base*, *dāda*; *inflexional base*, *dāda-ni*, *dāda-ti*, *dāda-i*, *dāda-ti-ni*, *dāda-ni-i*, *dāda-ti-i*, *dāda-ti-ni-i*, *dāda-i-i*.

(2) Of appellative and relative participial nouns of the masculine gender:—

the inflexional base in *i* or sometimes in *ni*, or a compound of the two, *e.g.*—

kōganju, a boy; *inflexional base*, *kōgan-i*, *kōgan-ni*, *kōgan-ti-i*, *kōgan-ni-i*.

(3) Of appellative and relative participial nouns of the neuter gender:—

the inflexional base in *a*, or generally in *a + ni*, or sometimes in *a + ti* and the various combinations as *a + i*, *a + ni + i*, *a + i + i*, &c., *e.g.*—

Kōgari, a girl or small animal or small thing: *inflexional base*, *kōgar-a*, *kōgar-a-ni*, *kōgar-a-ti*, *kōgar-a-i*, *kōgar-a-ni-i*, *kōgar-a-i-i*, &c.

The general oblique case may be used for any one of the oblique cases; but as there are no special auxiliary case-signs for the genitive and accusative, it is the genitive as well as the accusative case in Kūi, *e.g.*—

nāi dāda-ni māda,—My elder brother's child (*genitive*).

nāi dāda-ni ārkāmū,—Go call my elder brother (*accusative*).

We shall now examine the formation of each one of the various cases in Kūi.

Nominative Case.

There is no distinctive case termination attached to the noun when it is the subject of a proposition, that is to say, when it is in the nominative case. The nominative case is therefore (i)

the bare root, including the formative, if any, and (ii) the form compounded of the root and the gender and number suffixes. However, as the enunciative particles of the gender suffixes *ju* of *anju*, *u* of *aru*, as well as the enunciative final vowel *u* of the personal pronouns of the first and second persons are dropped in the oblique cases, they are peculiar to the nominative case, and may therefore be considered as nominative case signs.

Genitive case.

There is no auxiliary genitive case sign as we have seen, and the general oblique case is also the genitive case. Accordingly, for nouns that do not add the gender suffixes the genitive case is the crude base or the inflexional base with the augmentations *ni*, *ti*, *i*, and their compounds, and for nouns that add the gender suffixes the inflexional base with the augmentation *i* as well as the compounds of *i* with *ni*, *i*, for the masculine gender, and *a* as well as the compounds of *a* with *ni*, *ti*, *i*, for the neuter gender.

Substantive nouns do not generally add the augmentation for the genitive, especially where there can be no ambiguity from the position of the words, *e.g.*—

nāi dāda mīḍa ārkāmū, instead of *nāi dāda-ni mīḍa*,—Go call my brother's child.

The inflexional increment *ni* is not generally used with the plural of masculine nouns ending in the gender suffix *aru*, *e.g.*—

nāi dādar-i mīḍaṅga ārkāmū, instead of *nāi dādar-ni mīḍaṅga*,—Go call my brother's children.

The inflexional increment *ti* is not generally used with plural nouns, *e.g.*—

krāṇḍi-ti mīḍa mēh'teru,—they saw the tiger's cub.

But the form *krāṇḍi-ṅga-ti* or *krāṇḍi-ṅga-n-ti* would be unusual.

It is difficult to give any hard-and-fast rule as to the employment of the various combinations of the augmentations. For instance *a* + *ni*, and not *a* alone, is the ordinary form for the demonstrative or personal pronouns of the third person neuter singular and plural, and the other combinations would be very unusual and perhaps a mistake.

The method of forming the genitive of the personal pronouns must be noted here:—

First person, by adding the inflexional increment *a* plus the inflexional increment *i* or *ni*, *e.g.*—

ānu, I; *gen. nā-i*, or *an-a-ni*.

āmu, we; *gen. mā-i* or *am-a-ni*.

Second person, by adding the inflexional increment *i* or *ī* plus *i*, *e.g.*—

īnu, thou; *gen. n-i*, or *nī-i*, which becomes *nī* sometimes.

īru, you; *gen. m-i*, or *mī-i*, which is equivalent to *mī* sometimes.

It will be observed that the first or more common form of the first person *nā-i*, *mā-i*, drops the initial vowel *ā* and lengthens the inflexional *a* to *ā*, and the second or less common form *ana-ni*, *ama-ni* shortens the initial vowel *ā* to *a* before adding the augmentation in the usual Dravidian manner.

The second person singular *īnu* sometimes, but very rarely, uses a form *ina-ni* corresponding to the forms *ana-ni*, *ama-ni* of the first person. But there is no form like *ima-ni* for the second person plural.

The commonly used forms for the second person singular and plural are: *nī* and *mī* respectively.

Third person, the genitive of the pronouns of the third person are formed in the same way as the genitive of appellative nouns of the masculine and neuter genders.

There is an auxiliary genitive suffix *de* or *di* which is used for the possessive absolute in all three persons and both genders and numbers of pronouns, *e.g.*—

ānu, I; *poss. abs. nā-n-de*, or *ana-n-de*.

āmu, we; *poss. abs. mā-n-de*, or *ama-n-de*.

īnu, thou; *poss. abs. nī-n-de*.

īru, you; *poss. abs. mī-n-de*.

evanju, he; *poss. abs. eva-n-di*.

ēvaru, they; *poss. abs. eva-r-di*.

eri, she, it; *poss. abs. era-n-di*.

evi, they; *poss. abs. eva-n-di*, or *eva-ska-n-di*.

Accusative case.

The accusative case like the genitive is identically the same as the general oblique case.

Irrational and inanimate substantive nouns are generally used in their crude nominative form for the accusative when there is no ambiguity, *e.g.*—

Kṛāṇḍi viḥ'mū, instead of *kṛāṇḍini viḥ'mū*,—Shoot the tiger.

The inflexional increments *ni*, *ti*, *i*, and their combinations, and *i*, *a*, and their combinations, are used in the same way as in the genitive case, the first set as augmentations to nouns that do not add the gender suffixes, and the second set to nouns that add the gender suffixes, *i* being the particle for the masculine gender and *a* for the neuter.

In the personal pronouns of the first and second persons there is a distinct accusative case sign which is the same as the dative case sign, *e.g.*—

ānu, I; *accu. nāṅge*, or *anaṅge*.

āmu, we; *accu. māṅge*, or *amaṅge*.

inu, thou; *accu. nīṅge*.

iru, you; *accu. mīṅge*.

In the first person the general oblique case forms *anani*, *amani*, are sometimes used. But there are no corresponding forms for the second person.

Dative case.

The dative case is formed by adding the auxiliary dative case suffix *ki* to the inflexional base or general oblique case, *e.g.*—

dāda-ki, or *dāda-n-ki*, or *dāda-ti-ki*, or *dāda-n-ti-n(i)-ki*, or *dāda-i-ki*, *simū*,—Give to the elder brother.

In the personal pronouns of the first and second persons the usual dative case suffix is the same as the accusative case suffix, namely, *ṅge*, *e.g.*—

ānu, I; *dat. nāṅge*, or *anaṅge*, or *ananki*.

āmu, we; *dat. māṅge*, or *amaṅge*, or *amanki*.

inu, thou; *dat. nīṅge*, or *inanki*.

iru, you: *dat. mīṅge*.

It will be noted that there is no corresponding form to *inan-ki* in the second person plural.

The other cases are formed in the same way as the dative case, namely, by the addition of an auxiliary suffix to the oblique case form or inflexional base, which is sometimes, as we have seen, identical with the crude base. Accordingly, there may be any number of cases in Kūi. The following are some of them:—

Locative case, which is formed by the addition of the suffix *ta*, in, *e.g.*—

Iḍu-ta māne,—It is in the house.

A more intensive sign is *lai* or *lai-ta*, meaning inside or within. Similarly, *baha* or *baha-ta* signifies near, and so on.

Ablative case, which is formed by the addition of the suffix *teka*, from, or *baha-teka*, from near, *e.g.*—

Iḍu teka sālmū,—Go from the house.

Mrāh'nu bahaṭeka pinjitenju,—He ran away from near the tree.

Instrumental case, which is formed by the addition of the suffix *ḍai*, *ṭai*, *rai*, by means of, through, *e.g.*—

Dūṛaḍai ūh'tenju,—He beat with a stick.

Conjunctive case, which is formed by the addition of the suffix *kee*, with, in the company of, *e.g.*—

Nāikee vāmū,—Come along with me.

Vocative case. The vocative case is formed in a peculiar manner in Kūi. The noun is put into the form of an appellative verb of the second person and the expletive *ē* is placed before it, *e.g.*—

Ē mrika-nga-n-deru,—O boys, *lit.* O you who are boys.

Sometimes the ordinary crude form of the noun (nominative case) is used, *e.g.*—

Ē mrika, or *ē mrikaṅga*,—O boys.

A list of the commonly used case suffixes or postpositions will be given in the chapter on the Postpositions, or case suffixes.

CHAPTER II.

ADJECTIVE.

ADJECTIVE.

The adjective in Kūi, as in all the Dravidian languages, is a noun of quality or relation which acquires the force of an adjective properly so called by being placed in apposition before another noun. The adjective accordingly undergoes no change in form to agree with the noun it qualifies.

Besides the nouns of quality or relation nouns of state or action, that is, verbal nouns and participles, are used as adjectives as in the other Dravidian languages.

The following are the various ways in which nouns and verbs are used as adjectives:—

(1) Nouns of quality or relation become adjectives by being placed in apposition before the noun they qualify, *e.g.*—

Dēri lōku, a big man.

Dēri lōkuru, big men.

Dēri ittka, big houses.

The final *i* in this class of nouns of quality or relations, *e.g.*, *dēri*, big, *kōgi*, small, *nāgi*, good, appears to be an enunciative vowel, or it may be a sign of the crude base or genitive.

(2) Some substantive nouns become adjectives by being placed in apposition before the noun they qualify, *e.g.*—

Nāju lōku, a man of the village or villagers.

Vādi iḍu, a stone-house.

(3) The relative or adjectival participle in every tense performs the functions of an adjective, *e.g.*—

Vāni lōku, the coming-man or the man that comes.

Vāti lōku, the came-man or the man that came.

The final vowel *i* in the relative or adjectival participle also appears to be an enunciative particle, or a sign of the crude base or genitive.

(4) The infinitive prefixed in apposition to a noun gives (i) an adjective that signifies the action or state implied in the verbal root without reference to time, and (ii) an adjective that is sometimes different in meaning from the corresponding relative or adjectival participle from the same root or base, *e.g.*—

Pūnba löku, a knowing man, that is, a skilful or clever man.

But, *Pūnni löku*, a man that knows simply, not necessarily a skilful or clever man.

Ūrgi mānba löku, a rice-possessing man, that is, a well-to-do or wealthy man.

But, *ūrgi mānni löku*, a man that has rice in his possession, not necessarily a wealthy man.

(5) Nouns of all kinds may append the indeterminate tense of the relative or adjectival participle of *mān*, to be or exist, and its negative *siŋ*, not to be or not to exist, and *a*, to be or become, and its negative *a'a*, not to be or not to become, and be used as adjectives, *e.g.*—

Sanja mānni āsa miŋa, a beautiful woman, *lit.* a woman that has beauty.

Rāja āni löku, a kingly person, *lit.* a person that is king.

Krau siŋa'ni piŋgūdi, a shallow bowl, *lit.* a bowl that is not deep.

The other tenses of the relative or adjectival participle yield adjectives with a somewhat different signification, *e.g.*—

Sanja māsi āsa miŋa, a once beautiful woman, *lit.* a woman that was beautiful.

Rāja āja māsi löku, one who had been a kingly person, *lit.* a person that had been king.

(6) The inflexional increments *ni*, *ti*, *i*, *a*, suffixed to nouns give them the force of an adjective, but they are really participative genitives, *e.g.*—

Vaḍini iḍu, a stone-house, or house of stone.

(7) Adjectives borrowed from Uriya or Hindi generally remain unchanged, *e.g.*—

Buḍa kṛāṇḍi, an old tiger.

Degrees of Comparison.

(1) *Comparative degree.*

In the expression of the comparative degree Kūi follows a method of its own:—

- (i) the term which forms the basis of comparison is put in the dative case instead of, as in the literary Dravidian languages, the locative case, *e.g.*—

Evanki ānu ḍēranu, I am bigger than he is, *lit.* to him I am big.

- (ii) Sometimes the particle *ote* signifying *and* or *more* is placed before the adjective, *e.g.*—

Evanki ānu oṭe ḍēranu, to him I am more big.

- (iii) Sometimes the term of comparison is put in the ablative case as in Uriya and Hindi, *e.g.*—

Evani baha teka ānu ḍēranu, I am bigger than he is, *lit.* from him I am big.

(2) *Superlative degree.*

The superlative degree is expressed in various ways:—

- (i) By placing the particle of number *gūle*, all, before the term of comparison which is in the dative case, *e.g.*—

Gūle lōkutiki eranju ḍēranju, he is bigger than all, namely, biggest of all.

- (ii) By placing before the qualitative which remains unchanged the particle of number *deha*, *dehane*, much many, *e.g.*—

Evanju dehane ḍēranju, he is very big.

- (iii) By repeating the adjective, *e.g.*—

Eranju ḍēṛi ḍēṛi lōku, he is a very big man.

- (iv) By putting the term of comparison in the ablative case instead of, as in Uriya and Hindi, the dative case, *e.g.*—

Gūleteka evanju ḍēranju, he is big from all, namely, the biggest.

NUMERALS.

(1) *Cardinal numerals.*

In the Southern Gūmsar dialect there are distinct words to express the cardinal numbers from one to seven; but further north in the Kandh Māls the Kūi numerals, with the only exception of *rō*, one, and *rī*, two, have been displaced by the Uriya terms. The Uriya terms themselves have undergone slight phonetic modifications in the course of their utterance from the lips of a Kanadh, as for instance *chāro*, four, becomes *sāro*, and *chho*, six, *so*, and so on.

The following are the cardinal numerals:—

| | <i>Gūmsar dialect.</i> | <i>Kandh Māls dialect.</i> |
|------------|------------------------|----------------------------|
| one | <i>rō</i> | <i>rō.</i> |
| two | <i>rī</i> | <i>rī.</i> |
| three | <i>mñ</i> | <i>tin.</i> |
| four | <i>nal</i> | <i>sāro.</i> |
| five | <i>sing</i> | <i>pasō.</i> |
| six | <i>saj</i> | <i>so.</i> |
| seven | <i>oḍ</i> | <i>sāto.</i> |
| eight | <i>aṭo (Uriya)</i> | <i>aṭo.</i> |
| nine | <i>no (Uriya)</i> | <i>no.</i> |
| ten | <i>doso (Uriya)</i> | <i>doso.</i> |
| eleven | <i>doso oṭe rō</i> | <i>doso oṭe rī.</i> |
| twelve | <i>doso oṭe rī</i> | <i>doso oṭe rī.</i> |
| twenty | <i>kori</i> | <i>kori.</i> |
| twenty-one | <i>kori oṭe rō</i> | <i>kori oṭe rō.</i> |
| thirty | <i>kori oṭe doso</i> | <i>kori oṭe doso.</i> |
| forty | <i>rī kori</i> | <i>rī kori.</i> |

The numbers from above ten to twenty are formed by saying ten and one, *doso oṭe rō*; ten and two, *doso oṭe rī*, etc.

The Uriya or Hindi word *kūri*, pronounced *kori*, is used for twenty.

From twenty onwards the counting is done by twenties, as for instance forty is two twenties, *rī kori*, sixty three twenties, *mñ kori*, etc.

The cardinal numerals given above are the adjectival or concrete forms as distinguished from the substantival or abstract forms.

The substantival forms of *rō*, *ri*, etc., are—

one, *rōṇḍe*.
 two, *riṇḍe*.
 three, *mūṇḍi*.
 four, *naḷgi*.
 five, *siṅgi*.
 six, *saḷgi*.
 seven, *oḷgi*.
 eight, *aṭṭe*.
 nine, *no*.
 ten, *doso*.

The substantival forms are often used without any change as numeral adjectives, *e.g.*—

Rō nākūri or *nākūri rōṇḍe*, one dog.

When thus used they are generally placed after the noun they qualify.

The adjectival forms are used to make up appellative nouns of number and appellative verbs, *e.g.*—

rōaṇḍu, one man.
riaru, two men.
ānu rōanu, I alone.
āmu riāmu, we two alone.

(2) Ordinal numerals.

In the literary Dravidian languages the ordinal numerals are formed from the cardinal numbers by means of suffixed verbal participles or participial forms. This construction is not found in Kūi, which uses generally the cardinal numbers as ordinals and has recourse to circumlocation when the meaning is not evident from the context, *e.g.*—

Rō sāki gāṭani ārmā, call the first witness (the context showing that the first witness and not one witness is meant).

But, *Ri mrāh'nu piḷ'hanai tin mrāh'nuti krāh'mā*,—cut the third tree, *lii*. having left two trees cut the three-tree.

Or, *Ri mrāh'nu vioti oḷe ro mrāh'nu māne, mrāh'nuti krāh'mu li* after two trees there is one tree, cut that tree.

The ordinal numbers may also be expressed as follows:—

Vēle, the first, *lit.* before.

Ote, the second, *lit.* again.

Ote ote mānjanai tin pāli, the third, *lit.* again again having been the three-one (*pāli* is an Uriya or Hindi word).

Ote ote mānjanai sār pāli, the fourth,
and so on.

Viopi, vionḍi, the last, *lit.* behind.

(3) *Distributive numerals.*

The distributive numerals are formed by reduplication of the cardinal numbers and sometimes by circumlocution, *e.g.*—

Rō rōanki ri ri pāisa simū, give to each one two pice, *lit.* to one one give two two pice.

Ri ri dina pih'hanai rō rō dina vāmū, come every third day, *lit.* having left two two days come on one one day.

CHAPTER III.

THE PRONOUNS.

PERSONAL PRONOUNS.

The personal pronouns in Kūi bear a close family likeness to the personal pronouns of the other Dravidian languages.

In common with the other Dravidian languages Kūi is destitute of personal pronouns of the third person, and uses instead demonstrative particles with the addition of the gender and number suffixes.

The personal pronouns in Kūi are:—

| | <i>Singular.</i> | <i>Plural.</i> |
|-------------------|------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| <i>1st person</i> | ... ānu. | { ānu. āju (<i>inclusive plural</i>). |
| <i>2nd person</i> | ... ianu. | iru. |

DECLENSION.

First Person.

| | <i>Singular.</i> | <i>Plural.</i> |
|--------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| <i>Nom.</i> | ... ānu | ānu. |
| <i>General Oblique & Genitive.</i> | { nā-i, nā-i-i, nā-i ana-ni, ana-ni-i, &c. ana-i, ana-i-i, &c. | { nā-i, mā-i-i, mā-i am-i-ni, &c. ama-i, &c. |
| <i>Poss. absolute</i> | { nānde. anande. | { mānde. amande. |
| <i>Accus.</i> | { nānge. anange. | { mānge. amunge. |
| <i>Dat.</i> | { nānge. anange. ananki. | { mānge. amānge. amanki. |

Second Person.

| | | Singular. | Plural. |
|-----------------------------|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Nom. | ... | <i>inu.</i> | <i>iru.</i> |
| General oblique & genitive. | { | <i>nā, nā-i, nā-ī.</i> <i>ina-ni, &c.</i> <i>ina-i, &c.</i> | <i>mī, mī-i, mī-ī.</i> |
| Poss. absolute. | { | <i>nānde.</i> | <i>mīnde.</i> |
| Accus. | ... | <i>nānge.</i> | <i>mīnge.</i> |
| Dat. | { | <i>nānge.</i> <i>inan-ki.</i> <i>inan-i-ki, &c.</i> | <i>mīnge.</i> |

The method of formation of the general oblique case and the other oblique cases of the personal pronouns has been examined in the chapter on the Noun.

As in all the Dravidian languages, there are two forms for the first person plural of the pronouns: one, the *inclusive* form, implying the person or persons speaking, as well as the person or persons spoken to, and the other, the *exclusive* form, denoting only the persons speaking and excluding the person or persons spoken to.

The ordinary form *āmu* is the exclusive form, *e.g.*—

Iru kāk'i mānjeru āmu sāt namu,—you are playing, but we are dying.

The inclusive plural is *āju*, which is declined as follows:—

DECLENSION OF *āju*.

| | | |
|-----------------------------|-----|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Nom. | ... | <i>āju.</i> |
| General oblique & genitive. | { | <i>amnāni, &c.</i> <i>amnāi, &c.</i> |
| Poss. absolute, | | <i>amnānde.</i> |
| Accus. | | <i>amnānge.</i> |
| Dat. | { | <i>amnānge.</i> <i>amnānki.</i> <i>amnān-i-ki, &c.</i> |

e.g.—

Vādu āju sāna, come let us go.

REFLEXIVE PRONOUNS.

The reflexive pronoun possesses all the characteristics of the personal pronoun, and may almost be considered a personal pronoun of the third person when it stands as the nominative to a verb.

The reflexive pronoun in Kūi is:—

| <i>Singular.</i> | <i>Plural.</i> |
|---------------------|-----------------------|
| <i>tānu</i> , self. | <i>tāru</i> , selves. |

The reflexive pronoun is declined as follows:—

| | | DECLENSION. | |
|----------------------------------------|-----|--------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|
| | | <i>Singular.</i> | <i>Plural.</i> |
| <i>Nom.</i> | ... | <i>tānu</i> | <i>tāru</i> . |
| <i>General oblique & genitive.</i> | { | <i>tānani</i> , &c. <i>tāna-i</i> , &c. | } Wanting. |
| <i>Poss. absolute,</i> | } | <i>tānde</i> . | |
| <i>Accus.</i> | ... | <i>tānge</i> . | |
| <i>Dat.</i> | { | <i>tānge</i> . <i>tānan-ki</i> <i>tānan-i-ki</i> , &c. | |

Tānu and *tāru* have the force of the personal pronoun of the third person in the following examples:—

Tānu rēstenju,—he himself said.

Tāru vēsteru,—they themselves said.

When *tānu* and *tāru* are employed as reflexive pronouns in the oblique cases the singular number is used for the plural, which is wanting, e.g.—

Ecunju tānu tānge tōfi krātenju,—he cut his own throat, *lit.* he himself cut himself his throat.

Ecaru tāru tānge tōfi krāteru,—they cut their own throats, *lit.* they themselves cut himself his throat.

The plural *tāru* is the same in form as the plural masculine of the demonstrative pronoun *tānju*. The more regular plural of *tānu* should have been on the analogy of the literary Dravidian languages *tānu* or *tāmaru*, which, however, is not found.

The reflexive pronouns are not used in the first or second person in Kūi. An emphatic particle *na* added to the pronoun gives it the force of a reflexive pronoun, *e.g.*—

Anunē nāi tōtī krāte,—I cut my own throat, *lit.* I indeed cut my throat.

DEMONSTRATIVE PRONOUNS.

In many languages relative proximity or remoteness of an object is denoted by the inflection of the voice, the higher pitch in which the demonstrative particle is uttered implying nearness and the lower tone remoteness of the object pointed out.

The following are the demonstrative particles in Kūi:—

- i*, this (close at hand).
- e*, that (close at hand).
- a*, that (further away).
- o*, that, yonder (furthest away).

These particles are lengthened to *ī*, *ē*, *ā*, and *ō*, respectively, for the sake of emphasis.

When used by themselves the particles are demonstrative adjectives, *e.g.*—

i lōku, this man.

e āsa mīda, that woman.

When the gender and number suffixes are added to the demonstrative particles, they become demonstrative pronouns or properly demonstrative nouns or nouns of relation, *e.g.*—

ivanju, this man, namely, *he*.

eri, this woman, or animal, or thing, namely, *she* or *it*.

The demonstrative pronouns, especially the set formed on the base *e*, are used as personal pronouns of the third person.

DECLENSION OF THE DEMONSTRATIVE PRONOUN *e*.

Masc. Gender.

| | | <i>Singular.</i> | <i>Plural.</i> |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <i>Nom.</i> | ... | <i>evanju.</i> | <i>evaru.</i> |
| <i>General Oblique,</i> <i>Genitive & Accus.</i> <i>cases.</i> | } | <i>evan-i, evan-i-i, &c.</i> <i>evan-ni, &c.</i> | <i>evan-i, &c.</i> <i>evan-ni, &c.</i> |
| <i>Poss. Abs.</i> | ... | <i>evan-di</i> | <i>evan-di.</i> |
| <i>Int.</i> | { | <i>evan-ki</i> <i>evan-i-ki, &c.</i> <i>evan-ni-ki, &c.</i> | <i>evan-ki.</i> <i>evan-i-ki, &c.</i> <i>evan-ni-ki, &c.</i> |

Neuter Gender.

| | <i>Singular.</i> | <i>Plural.</i> |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <i>Nom.</i> | ... <i>eri</i> | <i>evi, eri-ska, evi-sk</i> |
| <i>General Oblique, Genitive, & Accus. cases.</i> | $\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{era-ni, era-ni-i, &c.} \\ \text{era-i, &c.} \end{array} \right\}$ | $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{eva-ni, &c.} \\ \text{eri-ska-ni, &c.} \\ \text{evi-ska-ni, &c.} \\ \text{eva-i, &c.} \\ \text{eri-ska-i, &c.} \\ \text{evi-ska-i, &c.} \end{array} \right.$ |
| <i>Poss. Abs.</i> | ... <i>eran-di.</i> | $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{evan-di, eri-ska-n-di,} \\ \text{evi-ska-n-di.} \end{array} \right.$ |
| <i>Dat.</i> | $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{era-n-ki.} \\ \text{era-ki.} \\ \text{era-ni-ki, &c.} \end{array} \right.$ | $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{eva-n-ki, &c., &c.} \\ \text{eva-ki, &c., &c.} \\ \text{eva-ni-ki, &c., &c.} \end{array} \right.$ |

Sbbal As each demonstrative base consists of a single vowel, when the gender suffix begins with a vowel, an euphonic *v* is generally inserted between the base and the suffix in order to prevent hiatus, *e.g.*—

E-r-anju, he.

But, *e-ri*, she or it.

In some of the dialects, for instance that spoken in Gūmsar, the rule of euphony is not strictly observed, and instead of *evanju*, *evaru*, we find *eanju*, *earu*.

A noun formed like the demonstrative pronoun on the base *ta* is sometimes used as an emphatic personal pronoun of the third person. It is also used to form compound nouns of relation or state, *e.g.*—

Tānju vēstenju, he said.

But, *Tānu* (or *tānunē*) *vēstenju*, he himself said.

Tānju (*mas.*) and *tāri* (*neuter*) are declined like *evanju* and *eri*.

The following are some examples of nouns of relation or state formed with *tānju*, *tāri*:—

Nāju tānju, a villager.

Vēgali tānju, a different man, another man.

Ro'i tānju, a bad man.

INTERROGATIVE PRONOUNS.

There are two kinds of interrogative pronouns: (1) the *indefinite*, and (2) the *definite*.

Indefinite interrogatives.

The ordinary or indefinite interrogative pronouns are:—

| | | |
|---------------|---|-------------|
| <i>Imba'e</i> | } | Who? Which? |
| <i>Umba'e</i> | | |
| <i>Omba'e</i> | | |
| <i>Ina</i> | } | What? |
| <i>Ena</i> | | |
| <i>Ana</i> | | |

The pronouns *imba'e*, *umba'e*, *omba'e*, appear to be formed from the adverbial nouns of place: *imba*, here, in this place; *umba*, where, in what place; *emba*, there, in that place. They are used for rational beings alone.

DECLENSION OF *imba'e*.

| | <i>Singular.</i> | <i>Plural.</i> |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| <i>Nom.</i> | <i>imba'e.</i> | } Wanting. |
| <i>General Oblique,</i> | <i>imberi.</i> | |
| <i>Genitive, and</i> | | |
| <i>Accus.</i> | | |
| <i>Poss. Absolute</i> | <i>imberdi.</i> | |
| <i>Dat.</i> ... | <i>imber-ki.</i> | |
| | <i>imberi-ki, &c.</i> | |

Imba'e, *umba'e*, *omba'e*, are used indiscriminately in both numbers and for both men and women. Their indefinite signification is apparent from the absence of separate forms to differentiate number and sex.

The neuter irrational and inanimate *ina*, *ena*, *ana*, is formed by suffixing the neuter formative *n* to the demonstrative particles *i*, *e*, *a*. The formative *n* is more abstract than the neuter formative *r*. A compound form is obtained by suffixing the particle *r* to *ina*, *ena*, *ana*: *inari*, *enari*, *anari*.

DECLENSION OF *inari*.

| | <i>1st form</i> | <i>2nd form.</i> |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| <i>Nom.</i> | <i>ina.</i> | <i>inari.</i> |
| <i>General Oblique,</i> | <i>ina</i> | <i>inara.</i> |
| <i>Genitive and</i> | | |
| <i>Accus.</i> | | |
| <i>Dat.</i> | <i>ina-ki.</i> | <i>inar(a)-ki.</i> |
| | <i>ina-n-ki, &c.</i> | <i>inar(a)-n-ki, &c.</i> |

The plural is wanting. The final *a* of *ina* appears to be a neuter plural suffix, and it is therefore plural; the second form *inari* is singular. But whether they are singular or plural in their origin the two forms are used indiscriminately for both numbers.

The adjectival formative *i* appended to the base *in*, *en*, *an*, gives the interrogative adjective *ini*, *eni*, *ani*, *e.g.*—

Ni pada ini pada,—what is thy name, *lit.* what name thy name.

Definite Interrogatives.

There is a class of words which we might call the definite interrogatives. They are;—

(i) *Isti* or *iste*, which of these close by.

Esti or *este*, which of those close by.

Asti or *aste*, which of those further off.

Osti or *oste*, which of those furthest away.

The generic signification of these words is—‘which particular one or ones out of several,’ *e.g.*—

Estanju, which man, namely, who out of several.

(ii) *Ise* or *ese*, how much, how many, how large or small.

This particle is used both as a definite interrogative and a definite demonstrative adjective. In the former case it asks for information, and in the latter it gives information as to number, quantity, or size, *e.g.*—

Ese löku māneru, how many persons are there (*def. interrog.*)

Ese se māneru, there are several (*def. demons.*).

(iii) *Ising*, how, what kind.

It asks for information as to kind or quality or manner, *e.g.*—

Isingtānju or *ising vāka tānju*, what kind of a man.

When the gender suffixes are added to the particles *iste*, *este*, *etc.*, which are adjectives, they become definite interrogative pronouns, or properly nouns, and are declined like the definite demonstrative pronouns formed on the base *i*, *e*, *etc.*

The crude forms *iste*, *este*, *etc.*, are sometimes used without any variations for gender or number as a neuter definite interrogative

pronoun corresponding to the neuter indefinite interrogative pronoun, *ina*, e.g.—

Vāine vāine ! it comes, it comes !

Iste vāine ? what thing comes ?

The difference between *iste* and *ina* in the above example would be: *iste* would convey the idea—I am expecting several things, e.g., we are hunting and I am expecting deer and hare and peafowl, etc., so I ask my companion which of these is coming; *inā* would imply—I do not know what my companion refers to, e.g., we are going through a forest at dusk, and my companion suddenly exclaims *vāine vāine* ! I ask *ina* ? what ? Is it a wild animal, or a robber, or a ghost, or what ?

Iste, *este*, etc., seem to be compounds of *ise*, *ese*, etc., and the base *ta* which, as we have seen, is employed to form nouns of relation. The component parts of *istanju*, *estanju*, etc., would be *ise* + *ta* + *nju*, what (number, quantity, size) man, that is, which man.

Ise, *ese*, are both adjectives and pronouns. But when they are pronouns they do not add the gender suffixes, e.g.—

Ese se māneru, there are several.

Ising in itself is an adjective and becomes a pronoun when compounded with the particle *ta* with the gender suffixes, e.g.—

Ising tānju, what kind of a man.

INDEFINITE PRONOUNS.

Though Kūi shows a scientific regularity in the formation of its definite demonstratives and also its definite interrogatives, it is very deficient in the expression of its indefinite pronouns, and has recourse sometimes to circumlocution to state such concepts as anyone, someone, etc.

The following are some indefinite pronouns:—

Imba'e āteka, *umba'e āteka*, *cmba'e āteka*, any one, e.g.—

Imōeri āteka ārmūrē, call any one.

Imbu'e, etc., are, as we have seen, indefinite interrogative pronouns. *Āteka* is the conditional form of the impersonal *āte*, there

is or it is. *Imba'e ateka* means literally—who if there be, that is, if there by any one (*indefinite*).

Estanju ateka (*masc.*), *estari ateka* (*neuter*), some one, *e.g.*—

Estani (or *estara*) *ateka armūrē*, call some one.

Estanju, *estari*, are definite interrogative pronouns and their literal meaning is—which one if there be, that is, if there be some one.

Ina or *inari atekā*, anything, *e.g.*—

Ina ateka uh'ppa tangi tāmā, bring anything to beat with.

Ina is the neuter of the indefinite interrogative pronoun and signifies what. *Ina ateka* means literally—what if there be, that is, if there be anything (*indefinite*).

Este or *estari ateka*, something, *e.g.*—

Este ateka uh'ppa tangi tāmū, bring something to beat with.

Este and *estari* are the neuter of the definite interrogative pronoun, and signify—which one. *Este ateka* is literally—which one if there be, that is, if there be something.

It will be observed that *imba'e ateka*, *ina ateka*, are more indefinite than *estanju ateka*, *este ateka*.

The forms *inari* and *estari* are somewhat more explicit than the forms *ina* and *este* respectively.

The addition of the particle *vē* to the indefinite pronoun gives emphasis, *e.g.*—

Ina atekavē uh'ppa tangi tāmū vē, bring anything whatever to beat with.

This emphatic particle *vē* is always used in negative propositions with a negative force, so that the proposition has really a double negative. This is a Dravidian characteristic, *e.g.*—

Imba'e atekavē vā'a'te, no one came.

Vēgatānju (*masc.*), *vēgatāri* (*neuter*), or *vēgalitānju* (*masc.*), *vēgalitāri* (*neuter*), another one, a different one, some other one, some one else, *e.g.*—

Vēgatānju nāngo vēstenju, some one else told me.

Vēga is a noun meaning different, *e.g.*, *vēga dina*, a different day. *Vēgali* is a neuter noun compounded of *vēga* and the

neuter suffix *li* (or *ri*). *Vēga* or *vēgali* compounded with the base *ta* and the gender suffixes yields the indefinite pronouns, *e.g.*—

Vēgatānju (*masc.*), *vēgatāri* (*neut.*), *vēgalitānju* (*masc.*), *vēgalitāri* (*neut.*), a different one, another, some other.

Indefinite Adjectives.

The following are some of the indefinite adjectives. They generally perform the functions of pronouns without taking the gender suffixes :—

Dēha, much, many, several.

Īke, *ikōki*, *kōkai*, little, few.

Oŋe, more.

Gūle, all.

Rō, one, a certain.

Ri, two, both.

Jore (*Uriya*), a pair, both.

Gōṇḍe (*Uriya*), some.

Rō and *ri* are sometimes declined like the demonstrative pronoun formed on the base *i*, *e*, etc., *e.g.*—

Rōanju, *rōantānju* (*masc.*), *rōari*, *rōantāri* (*neuter*), *Riaru* (*masc.*), *riavi* (*neuter*).

Gūle is sometimes compounded with the base *ta* and declined like the demonstrative pronoun, *e.g.*—

Gūletaru (*masc.*), *gūletāvi* (*neuter*).

RELATIVE PRONOUNS.

There are no relative pronouns in Kūi. A remarkable characteristic of all the Dravidian languages is the absence of the relative pronoun. Its want is, however, compensated for by the peculiar employment of the relative or adjectival participle and participial noun, and the indefinite or interrogative pronoun in correlation with a definite demonstrative. This construction will be examined more fully in the chapter on the Verb.

CHAPTER IV.

THE VERB.

FUNCTIONS OF THE VERBAL ROOT.

In Kūi the verbal root or ultimate base is not a part of speech in itself. It becomes a verb or a noun by the agglutination of a particle—

First, when the action or state implied in the verbal root is referred to some subject or agent in a proposition, by the addition of certain particles, the compound word becomes a *finite verb*.

Secondly, when the action or state is expressed subjectively in itself, also by the addition of certain other particles, the compound word becomes a *verbal noun*. This verbal noun expresses not the abstract idea contained in the root but the act or state itself with certain specifications.

Thirdly, when the act or state is expressed as existing concretely in a being or agent, by the addition of another set of particles, the compound word becomes a *relative or adjectival participle*.

FINITE VERB.

The finite verb in Kūi is characteristically Dravidian in its structure for it is strictly agglutinative. To the verbal root or theme are suffixed in regular order the various specifying particles of negation, mood, and tense, together with the personal pronominal terminations by which gender, number, and person are denoted.

(1) *Voice*.

In Kūi as in all the Dravidian languages there is no *passive voice*. A construction which may be called a passive voice is sometimes made use of by joining the infinitive of the verb that expresses the state or action to the indeterminate or past tenses of the auxiliary verb *ā*, to be or become, in such expressions as :—

Vi tāna rava āne,—to-morrow the field will be ploughed
lit. to-morrow the field to plough will be.

Kama giva āle,—the work is done, *lit.* to do work it was

This construction is properly a *neuter-passive* because the passive signification will not be brought out if the subject of the auxiliary verb is a person.

The infinitive with the indeterminate tense of the auxiliary *ā*, to be or become, is, however, more an obligatory mood than a passive voice, for in the expression '*viā pāna rūra āne*' the real signification is 'to-morrow the field must be or ought to be ploughed.'

As in the literary languages the passive voice may be expressed idiomatically by the verbs *tīn*, to eat, *pān*, to obtain, etc., as in the following sentences:—

Māda tīnji,—thou wilt be beaten, *lit.* thou wilt eat a beating.

Donḍo pātenju,—he was punished, *lit.* he got punishment.

(2) Form of the Verb.

Affirmative and Negative forms.

The *affirmative* form of the verb is its natural form, that is, the form that consists of the root or theme and the various specifying particles of mood and tense with the personal pronominal terminations.

The *negative* form is obtained from the affirmative form by inserting the negative particle *a'* between the root or theme, and the particles of mood and tense *plus* the personal pronominal terminations, *e.g.*—

Gi-(n)-enju, he does.

Gi'-enju, he does not.

Gi-t-enju, he did.

Gi-a'-t-enju, he did not.

In Tamil the particle *a'* negatives the attributes of a thing and the particle *i'* its existence. The corresponding forms in Kūi are *a'* and *siḍ* respectively. The substantive verb in Kūi is *mān*, to be or exist, and its negative is *siḍ*, not to be or exist. Very curiously, *siḍ* adds *a'* in its past tense and becomes a double negative, *e.g.*, *siḍe*, it is not, but *siḍ-a'-te*, it was not.

The negative form of the verb is found in all the moods and tenses of the finite verb as well as in the relative participles, but not in the verbal nouns, that is, the infinitive and the present and past verbal participles. The latter are negated by the addition of the negative substantive verb *siḍ*, e.g., *vāratangi*, for the purpose of coming; *vāva-siḍ-a'-tangi*, for the purposes of not coming.

Reservative form.

There is a peculiar form of the verb in Kūi, which must be examined in this place. The particles *kā* and *tā* are suffixed to some transitive verbal roots or bases to signify that the agent of the action is brought into a certain state and allowed to remain in that state.

The particle *kā* denotes the *intention* of the agent of the action as regards the effect of the action, e.g.—

Ninge āh'ī,—I shall beat thee.

But, *Ninge āh'kā'ī*,—I shall beat thee and leave thee lying there.

The particle *tā* expresses the *determination* of the agent to do the action in spite of a custom or order, e.g.—

. *Ninge āh'ī*,—I shall beat thee.

But, *Ninge āh'tā'ī*,—I shall beat thee whatever the consequences may be.

The particle *kā* is softened to *gā* when the verbal root or base ends in *l* or *n*, e.g.—

Sālgāmū,—go away (and do not return).

Tīngāmū,—eat up (and finish it).

The Reservative form appears to have been borrowed from the Mūnda languages.

(3) *Mood.*

Mood is the manner in which a proposition is stated. It may be uttered as an *assertion* (indicative mood), as a *command* (imperative mood), as a *condition* or *hypothesis* (conditional or subjunctive mood), as a *wish* or *prayer* (optative or concessive mood).

Properly speaking, there is only one mood in Kūi, namely, the *Indicative*. The forms which correspond to the *Conditional*, the *Imperative*, etc., of other languages are really verbal compounds rather than moods.

(i) *Indicative Mood.*

There is no special particle of manner or mood characteristic for the purpose of expressing an assertion or denial in Kūi. The indicative mood form of the verb is therefore the root or theme *plus* the tense characteristic and personal pronominal terminations, *e.g.*, from the root *si*, to give, we obtain *si(n)*, the indeterminate tense-form, and *sit*, the past tense-form, which on the personal pronominal terminations being added become full verbs of the indicative mood as follows:—

INDETERMINATE TENSE.

Affirmative Form.

| | | <i>Singular.</i> | <i>Plural.</i> |
|------------|-----|----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| 1st person | ... | <i>Si'-i.</i> | <i>Si-(n)-amu.</i> |
| 2nd " | ... | <i>Si-di.</i> | <i>Si-d-eru.</i> |
| 3rd " | ... | <i>Si-(n)-e-nju (masc.).</i> <i>Si-(n)-e (neut.).</i> | <i>Si-(n)-eru (masc.).</i> <i>Si-(n)-u (neut.).</i> |

Negative Form.

| | | <i>Singular.</i> | <i>Plural.</i> |
|------------|-----|----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| 1st person | ... | <i>Si'-e-nu.</i> | <i>Si'-a-mu.</i> |
| 2nd " | ... | <i>Si'-a-i.</i> | <i>Si'-e-ru.</i> |
| 3rd " | ... | <i>Si'-e-nju (masc.).</i> <i>Si'-e (neut.).</i> | <i>Si'-e-ru (masc.).</i> <i>Si'-u (neut.).</i> |

PAST TENSE.

Affirmative Form.

| | | <i>Singular.</i> | <i>Plural.</i> |
|------------|-----|------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| 1st person | ... | <i>Si-t-e.</i> | <i>Si-t-a-mu.</i> |
| 2nd " | ... | <i>Si-t-i.</i> | <i>Si-t-e-ru.</i> |
| 3rd " | ... | <i>Si-t-e-nju (masc.).</i> <i>Si-t-e (neut.).</i> | <i>Si-t-e-ru (masc.).</i> <i>Si-t-u (neut.).</i> |

Negative Form.

| | | <i>Singular.</i> | <i>Plural.</i> |
|------------|-----|------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|
| 1st person | ... | <i>Si-a'-t-e-nu.</i> | <i>Si-a'-t-a-mu.</i> |
| 2nd " | ... | <i>Si-a'-t-i.</i> | <i>Si-a'-t-e-ru.</i> |
| 3rd " | ... | <i>Si-a'-t-e-nju (masc.).</i> <i>Si-a'-t-e (neut.).</i> | <i>Si-a'-t-e-ru (masc.).</i> <i>Si-a'-t-u (neut.).</i> |

(ii) *Imperative Mood.*

For the utterance of a command to a second person the suffixes *mā* (*sing.*) and *dā* (*plu.*) are added for the affirmative form, and *a'a* (*sing.*) and *a'tā* (*plu.*) for the negative form to the verbal root or theme, *e.g.*—

*Singular.**Plural.*

2nd person ... *Si-mā*, give thou.

Si-dā, give you.

2nd „ ... *Si-a'a*, give not thou.

Si-a'tā, give not you.

The inclusive plural form of the indeterminate tense is often used as a first person plural of the imperative, *e.g.*—

Āju sāna,—You and I shall go; also,—let us go.

Āju sāl'a,—You and I shall not go; also,—let us not go.

(iii) *Conditional and Subjunctive Mood.*

A proposition in the conditional mood expresses a fact as depending on a given condition. The principal clause is that which states the fact and the dependent clause is that which expresses the condition.

In Kūi a conditional sentence is really a simple proposition containing one subject and one predicate, and the conditional clause is an adverbial phrase that modifies the predicate. This adverbial phrase is made up of the *indefinite* form of the verb in the past tenses and the suffix *kā*, *e.g.*—

Iru kāma gīte-kā ānu sā'i,—If you work I shall go.

Evaru kāma gīte-kā ānu sā'i,—If they work I shall go.

The literal meaning of *gīte-kā* seems to be: *gīte*, did, and *kā*, let it be (*kā*, the intensive form of *ā*, being used as a concessive), that is: *be it that (I, thou, he, etc.) did*.

As the conditional mood form is not a finite verb, the personal pronominal terminations are not suffixed to it, and it remains unaltered whatever the gender, number, and person of the conditional clause may be.

The adverbial phrase retains its force as a verb, and hence it may have a subject and if a transitive verb an object, *e.g.*—

Ānu kāma gīte-kā deri ā'i,—If I do work I shall become great.

If the subject of the conditional clause be the same as that of the principal clause, it is generally not expressed, *e.g.*—

Ēhengi gūte-kā sadi,—If thou dost so thou shalt die.

But, *Inu ēhengi gūte-kā ānu sã'i*,—If thou dost so I shall die.

Adversative form of the Conditional Mood.

The adversative form of the conditional mood which is expressed in English by—'though', 'although', 'even if,' is made up by suffixing the particle *vē* to the conditional mood characteristic *kā*, *e.g.*—

Iru kute-kā-vē ānu sã'i,—Although you demur I shall go.

Negative form of the Conditional Mood.

The negative form of the conditional mood is obtained in the usual way by inserting the negative particle *a'* between the root or theme and the tense characteristic, *e.g.*—

Evaru kāma gi-a'-te-kā ānu sã'i,—If they be not working, I shall go.

Subjunctive Mood.

Besides the conditional mood of dependent clauses, there is also a subjunctive mood in Kūi, which is used in the principal clause when an idea or imaginary contingency (Subjunctive Mood) is expressed instead of a positive or negative fact (Indicative Mood).

The subjunctive mood is formed by joining the present or past verbal participle that expresses the idea or imaginary contingency to the indeterminate tense of the verb *dū*, to continue, and appending the expletive *mā* or *māre*, *e.g.*—

Evaru kāma gi-se-kā ānu sãja dū'i mā,—If they had been working, I might have gone (a pure imaginary contingency).

(iv) Optative and Precative or Concessive Mood.

The optative mood implies that the speaker expresses a wish or desire, and the precative or concessive mood that he asks or grants a favour or concession.

The optative and precative mood is formed by joining the infinitive, or noun, which expresses the wish or desire the speaker utters, or the favour or concession he asks or grants, to the particle *kā*, which becomes the base of an appellative verb. This appellative verb formed on the base *kā* indicates the person or thing affected by the wish of the speaker or by the favour he asks or grants, e.g.—

Giva kānu, let me do—it is the desire of the speaker namely, myself, to do; and the first person *kānu* indicates that my wish is that *I* do.

Giva kādi, mayest thou do—it is my desire that *thou* do.

Giva kānju, may he do—it is my desire that *he* do.

Negative form of the Optative and Precative Mood.

The negative form is obtained by prefixing the negative particles *sid-a'* to the appellative verb formed on the base *kā*, e.g.—

Giva sid-a'-kānju,—may he not do.

The expletives *mā* and *māre* are added to the optative and precative mood form to signify that the wish or desire is unattainable, or that the favour or concession is not likely to be granted, or that the prayer has been previously refused, etc.

The following examples will show the difference in meaning of an expression with and without the addition of the expletives *mā* and *māre* :—

Nāju ki sāva kānu,—let me go to my village (I do not want to stay longer—it is my wish to go home).

Nāju ki sāva kānu māre,—would that I had gone to my village (for some reason I did not go—I cannot go now—or it would be useless my going now).

Pala tinba kānju,—let him eat his rice (I wish him to eat his rice—please let him eat).

Pala tinba kānju māre,—would that he had eaten his rice (I asked you to let him eat his rice; I left it here; and now the dog has eaten it up).

The addition of the emphasised or specialised form of the infinitive of *a*, to be or become, namely, *appa*, to the noun alters its meaning, e.g.—

Dəri kānu, let me be big.

But, *Dəri appa kānu*, let me become big.

The characteristic Dravidian law of hardening and doubling the sonant to its corresponding surd is seen very clearly in the following example:—

Vāva kādī māre,—would that thou hadst come.

Vāppa kātti māre,—why didst thou not come (*a reproach*).

The optative and precative mood is sometimes used instead of the subjunctive, *e.g.*—

Ānu sālvā kānu māre āja dūne mā,—If I had gone it might have taken place.

Ānu sāja se-kā āja dūne mā,—If I had gone it might have taken place.

But there is a difference in meaning between the two expressions: the idea of the first is—I regret I did not go, for who knows if I had gone it might have taken place; and of the second—the taking place of the event was contingent on my going.

(4) *Tense.*

Formation of Tense.

In Kūi, as in all the Agglutinative languages, the action or state implied in the verbal root may be specified in respect to its occurrence in time by the suffixing to the root or base of a temporal particle or tense characteristic.

The tense-form, that is, the verbal root or base with the additional specifying particle of time, is the stem on which verbs as well as the relative participles and participial nouns are formed.

It is necessary to note here that there is a class of verbal roots ending in a consonant that insert the particle *i* between the root or base and the tense characteristic, *e.g.*, *pag*, to divide; present tense-form *pag-i-n*; past tense-form *pag-i-t*. This particle *i* is not found in the verbal nouns, *e.g.*, *pag-a*, to divide; *pag-i*, dividing; *pag-a*, divided; nor is it found in the imperative mood, nor in the negative form of the verb in the indicative mood.

Tenses of the Verb.

There are two kinds of tenses: the primary or simple tenses and the compound tenses.

Primary or Simple Tenses.

There are two primary tenses: a vague indeterminate tense implying the future as well as the present and the past (the aorist of Telugu grammarians), and a past which signifies an action or state as simply past without any further specification.

Indeterminate Tense.

The indeterminate tense is used (i) to express the future, (ii) to state general principles or universal truths, and (iii) to point out customs and habits not yet extinct, as well as regularly recurring actions and events, *e.g.*—

Ānu sād'i,—I shall go (*futurity*).

Ispora amāṅga mēh'ne,—God sees us (*universal truth*).

Pāherita kāma ginenju,—he works on the road (*it is his regular occupation*).

There is no special tense characteristic for the indeterminate tense, and the bare root or theme is the tense-form. However, there is a peculiarity which must be noticed here: the first person plural and the third person singular and plural of the affirmative form of the verb, but not the negative are formed on a theme that ends in *n*—the relative participial theme, *e.g.*, *gi*, to do; *gi-n-amu*, we do; *gi-n-e*, it does; *gi-n-u*, they (*neut.*) do. It seems also as if the first person singular of the affirmative form of the verb is formed on the same theme in *n*, *e.g.*, *gi'i*, I do, appears to be pronounced sometimes as *gi'i'i*, that is, *gi-n'-i*, the nasal being thrown back on the preceding vowel according to rule when the stopped sound—' occurs.

The absence of a particle denotative of time in the indeterminate tense-form is well calculated to imply that the action or state signified by the verbal root holds good of the future as well as the present and the past.

Another peculiarity must also be noticed. In the negative form of the indeterminate tense the negative particle *a'* disappears and only the stopped sound—' is added to the theme, *e.g.*—

Gi'enu, I do not.

Gi'ai, thou doest not.

Gi'enju, he does not.

Gi'e, she or it does not, &c.

Past Tense.

The past tense represents the action or state signified by the verbal root as having taken place without any reference to the time of our speaking, *e.g.*—

Ina vestenju,—What did he say?

It is also used to express (i) events that have just happened, and (ii) past actions that have an abiding effect, *e.g.*—

Tise,—I have just eaten.

Nai miḍa satenju,—My child is dead.

The tense characteristic of the past tense is *t* (or *tt*), and in a few cases *s* (or *ss*), *e.g.*—

| Root or base. | Past tense-form. |
|--------------------------|------------------|
| <i>vā</i> , come | <i>vā-t</i> . |
| <i>mān</i> , be or exist | <i>mā-s</i> . |

As far as I have been able to gather, the following are the only verbs that form their past tense in *s* :—

| Root or theme. | Past tense-form. |
|------------------------------|------------------|
| <i>mān</i> , to be or exist. | <i>mā-s</i> . |
| <i>tīn</i> , to eat. | <i>tī-s</i> . |
| <i>pūn</i> , to know. | <i>pū-s</i> . |
| <i>vēn</i> , to hear. | <i>vē-s</i> . |
| <i>in</i> , to say. | <i>i-s</i> . |

Also, *sāl*, to go, an irregular verb, which has *sā-s*.

It should be noted that although the nasal is inherent in the root of *mān*, *tīn*, etc., it disappears in the past tense-forms, *mā-s*, *tī-s*, etc.

The final *l* of *sāl* disappears in the indicative mood, but it is found in the imperative mood and in the negative form of the verb; it is also found in the infinitive, but not in the verbal participles. Its past tense-form *sās* distinguishes it from the past tense-form *sāt* of the regular verb *sā*, to die.

Compound Tenses.

There are four compound tenses in Kūi:—

Present Definite.

The present definite is formed of the indeterminate tense of *mān*, to be or exist, or *siḍ*, not to be or exist, and the present

participle. It expresses the action of the verb as going on at the present moment, *e.g.*—

Iru kah'i mánjeru amu sáí namu,—You are playing but we are dying.

Imperfect.

The imperfect is formed of the past tense of *mán* or *siq* and the present participle. It expresses the action as going on in some past time not then finished, *e.g.*—

Nákuri tēḍra kōju tōne ah'pisū,—A dog and a cook were friends, *lit.* a dog and a cook were catching friend.

The imperfect is also used to express customs and habits that prevailed at a past time as well as recurring actions and events that took place in past time, *e.g.*—

Parba dina gánina lókuni māsipi māsamu,—In former times we buried a human being, *lit.* in former days we were burying a human being.

Perfect.

The perfect is formed of the indeterminate tense of *mán* or *siq* and the past participle. It implies that the action is completed and the effect is still continuing, *e.g.*—

Pendika dīa māneru,—Crowds have gathered together (and are still here), *lit.* crowds have fallen.

Plu perfect.

The pluperfect is formed of the past tense of *mán* or *siq* and the past verbal participle. It implies that the action was completed before some other past act, *e.g.*—

Prēki gāṭani ah'ppatangi sāsenju, prēki gāṭani pínja senju,—

He went to catch the thief, but the thief had run away.

A shortened form of the substantive verb *mán* is generally used in the compound tenses; it is obtained by eliding the first syllable, *e.g.*—

Gippki nji for *gippki mánji*, thou art doing.

Besides the four compound tenses there are two other tenses that are formed of the present and past tenses of the verb *dū*

to continue, and the present verbal participle, which may be called the present and past continuative tenses respectively:—

Present Continuative.

The present continuative tense denotes that the action is being continued at the present time, *e.g.*—

Ni mōno inarki i bogari gippki dūdi,—Why doest thou persist in this spite, *lit.* why doest thou continue doing in thy mind this spite.

Past Continuative.

The past continuative tense denotes that the action was being continued at some past time, *e.g.*—

Kūrma dina dinaki kama gippki dūte,—The spider worked daily, *lit.* the spider continued working day to day.

It is also used to express a habit or custom in the past,—

Nāi dāda bahata lōh'ppi sava krāṇḍi vippki dūte,—I used to kill tigers when I was staying with my brother.

(5) *Gender, Number and Person.*

The personal pronominal terminations by which the gender, number, and person of the verb are expressed in Kūi are suffixed to the verbal theme in the same way as in the literary Dravidian languages. In some cases they have been so influenced by the primary root that they have shrunk into mere desinenes and cannot be easily recognised in their modified shape.

The tense-form as we have seen performs two functions: (i) that of the finite verb, and (ii) that of the relative or adjectival participle.

The tense-form becomes a finite verb when the action or state implied in the verbal root is referred to some subject or agent in a proposition. The reference is made by suffixing the proper personal pronominal termination to the tense-form so as to make it agree with the subject of the proposition.

The following are the personal pronominal terminations of the affirmative and negative forms of the verb in the Indeterminate and past primary tenses of the Indicative mood:—

AFFIRMATIVE FORM.

Indeterminate Tense.

| | <i>Singular.</i> | <i>Plural.</i> |
|----------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| 1st person ... | — i or i. | { — (n)-amu. — (n)-aju (incl. plu.). |
| 2nd „ ... | { — d-i. — j-i. | { — d-eru. — j-eru. |
| 3rd „ ... | { — (n)-e-nju (masc.) — (n)-e (neut.) | { — (n)-e-ru (masc.) — (n)-u (neut.). |

Past Tense.

| | <i>Singular.</i> | <i>Plural.</i> |
|----------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1st person ... | — ž or e. | { — amu. — a (incl. plu.). |
| 2nd „ ... | — i. | — eru. |
| 3rd „ ... | { — e-nju (masc.) — e (neu.). | { — e-ru (masc.) — u (neu.). |

NEGATIVE FORM.

Indeterminate Tense.

| | <i>Singular.</i> | <i>Plural.</i> |
|----------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1st person ... | — enu. | { — amu. — asu (incl. plu.). |
| 2nd „ ... | — ai. | — eru. |
| 3rd „ ... | { — e-nju (masc.) — e (neu.). | { — e-ru (masc.) — u (neu.). |

Past Tense.

| | <i>Singular.</i> | <i>Plural.</i> |
|----------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1st person ... | — enu. | { — amu. — asu (incl. plu.). |
| 2nd „ ... | — i. | — eru. |
| 3rd „ ... | { — e-nju (masc.) — e (neu.). | { — e-ru (masc.) — u (neu.). |

It should be noted:—

(i) that the neuter of the third person is different in form from what we have called the neuter gender-suffixes of the noun and the personal pronouns of the third person. In fact in the singular it appears to be an *indefinite* form,—that is, the form that does not denote either gender, number, or person. This indefinite form of the verb is used, as we have seen, in the conditional mood whatever the gender, number, and person of the verb may be;

(ii) that in the negative form of the indeterminate tense, the particle *d* or *j*, which appears to be a pronominal fragment, disappears;

(iii) that in the first person singular of the indeterminate and past tenses of the affirmative form of the verb the personal pronominal termination *i* and *e* respectively seem to contain a *nasal* for they are pronounced so sometimes, and the desinences are therefore really *ĩ* and *ẽ* which would be contractions of *anu*;

(iv) that the first person plural of the indeterminate tense of the affirmative form of the verb is identically the same in form as the first person plural of the appellative verb, e.g., *gi-n-amu*,—we do, as well as,—we who do. This is a well-known characteristic of the literary Dravidian languages.

VERBAL NOUNS.

There are three regularly formed *verbal nouns* in Kūi. One of them has the force of the *infinitive*, and the other two of the *present* and *past verbal participles* respectively.

The verbal nouns are formed by the addition of a specifying particle to the verbal root or theme. The formation from the verbal root seems to be the exception rather than the rule. The theme on which verbal nouns are formed is the root *plus* the formatives *v* or *b* or *pp* for the infinitive, and the formative *k* or its euphonic equivalents *s* or *j* sometimes alone or sometimes in combination with the formatives *v* or *b* or *pp* for the present and past verbal participles.

A glance at the list of typical verbs given at the end of the section will show the peculiar shapes these formatives assume

either singly or in their combinations in the different classes of verbs:—

(1) *The Infinitive.*

The infinitive is formed by the addition of the suffix *a* to the root or theme, *e.g.*—

| <i>Root.</i> | <i>Formative.</i> | <i>Suffix.</i> |
|--------------|-------------------|----------------------------|
| <i>pānd-</i> | — | <i>a</i> , to send. |
| <i>pīnj-</i> | — | <i>a</i> , to run away. |
| <i>lak-</i> | — | <i>a</i> , to worship. |
| <i>gi-</i> | <i>v-</i> | <i>a</i> , to do. |
| <i>si-</i> | <i>v-</i> | <i>a</i> , to give |
| <i>va-</i> | <i>v-</i> | <i>a</i> , to come. |
| <i>tin-</i> | <i>b-</i> | <i>a</i> , to eat. |
| <i>un-</i> | <i>b-</i> | <i>a</i> , to drink. |
| <i>mān-</i> | <i>b-</i> | <i>a</i> , to be or exist. |
| <i>mēh'-</i> | <i>pp-</i> | <i>a</i> , to see. |
| <i>tōs-</i> | <i>pp-</i> | <i>a</i> , to show. |
| <i>tis-</i> | <i>pp-</i> | <i>a</i> , to feed. |

In accordance with the characteristic Dravidian law, the sonants *v* and *b* are doubled and hardened to their corresponding surd *pp* in certain instances. The difference in use between the sonant and surd appears to be due in the first instance to euphony alone. But the hard form is employed in certain connections, as we have seen, for the purpose of emphasis or specialisation.

The principal rules to be observed in the ordinary use of the soft or hard form of the formative are:—

- (i) When the root ends in the abrupt checked sound, the *v* is generally hardened to *pp*, *e.g.*, *mēh'ppa*, to see; *śah'ppa*, to beat; but *uh'va*, to plant.
- (ii) When the root ends in a nasal, the soft form *b* is generally used instead of the soft form *v*., *e.g.*, *tīnba*, to eat; *unba*, to drink; but *inja*, to say; *pānppa*, to send.
- (iii) When the root ends in *s* the hard form *pp* is generally used, *e.g.*, *śesppa*, to speak; *tōppa*, to show.

- (iv) When the root ends in *g* the soft form *b* is generally used, and the final consonant and the formative change places, e.g., *nōg* gives *nōbga*, 'to wash; *tōg* gives *tōbga*, to kick.
- (v) When the root ends in *k* the hard form *pp* is generally used, and the final consonant and the formative change places, e.g., *kōk*, to sit, *kōppka*; *kāk*, to laugh, *kāppka*; *lek*, to break, *leppka*; *dik*, to kill, *dippka*.
- (vi) Some verbs ending in *k* or adding a *k* in the 'indeterminate or past tense retain the *k* for the infinitive, e.g., *nip* or *nipk*, to save, *nipka*; *bre* or *brek*, to carry on the shoulder, *breka*.

As Kūi is not a cultivated language the rules I have tried to formulate are not strictly observed. For instance in Tamil the rule is for the formative to be soft *v* after *l l* and *r r*; but in Kūi the infinitive of *vel*, to pull, is *velba* and not *velva*; of *nil*, to stand, *nilppa* and not *nilva*; of *ur*, to drink, *ār*, to call, *mūr*, to cut up, *kār*, to dig, *urppa*, *ārppa*, *mūrppa*, *kārppa*, instead of *urva*, etc.

The use of the hard form of the particle for the purpose of emphasis or specialisation has already been examined in the section on Gender. The following illustration is repeated:—

I say to a servant, '*Kāma giva tangi nājutari ārkamū*,—go call the villagers to work'; not hearing me distinctly, he asks '*inatangi*, for what?' I reply impatiently,—'*Kāma gippka tangi*, to work and for what else do you think you dunderhead.'

The infinitive behaves like a noun, inasmuch as it takes the case-suffixes *ki* and *tangi*, especially with verbs of motion, but it is not regularly declined nor has it a plural, e.g.—

Soṭe soṭe tinba nēgi a'e,—it is not good to eat frequently (nominative).

Nakuri tinbaki gūppki nenju,—the dog he runs to the feast (dative).

Pala tinba tangi ārkamū,—go call him to eat rice (dative).

The double function of the infinitive as a noun and as a verb is seen in the following examples:—

- (i) *Noun*: *Nāi tinba tangi siḍe*,—There is no more food for me, *lit.* for my eating there is not.
- (ii) *Verb with a subject*: *Amu tinba tangi siḍe*,—There is no eatable thing for me, *lit.* I to eat there is not.
- (iii) *Verb with an accusative*: *Nānge tinba tangi siḍe*,—There is no evil spirit (or animal) to eat me, *lit.* there is nothing to eat me.

The negative form of the infinite is used in an idiomatic way as a finite verb in replying to a question, *e.g.*—

Vātenju gina'e? Has he come?—reply: *a'e vāva siḍe*, instead of *vāa'tenju*, No, he has not come.

(2) Verbal Participles.

Two of the verbal nouns have the force of a present and a past verbal participle respectively:—

PRESENT VERBAL PARTICIPLE.

The formation of the present verbal participle appears to be analogous to that of the infinitive. A suffix *i* is added to the verbal root or theme, *e.g.*—

| Root. | Formative. | Suffix. |
|--------------|-------------|-------------------------------|
| <i>pāṇḍ-</i> | <i>a-</i> | <i>i</i> , sending. |
| <i>pinj-</i> | <i>a-</i> | <i>i</i> , running away. |
| <i>lak-</i> | <i>a-</i> | <i>i</i> , worshipping. |
| <i>gi-</i> | <i>ppk-</i> | <i>i</i> , doing. |
| <i>ei-</i> | <i>ppk-</i> | <i>i</i> , giving. |
| <i>vā-</i> | — | <i>i</i> , coming. |
| <i>tin-</i> | <i>j-</i> | <i>i</i> , eating. |
| <i>ān-</i> | <i>b-</i> | <i>i</i> , drinking. |
| <i>mān-</i> | <i>j-</i> | <i>i</i> , being or existing. |
| <i>māh'-</i> | <i>pp-</i> | <i>i</i> , seeing. |
| <i>tān-</i> | <i>pp-</i> | <i>i</i> , showing. |
| <i>tis-</i> | <i>pp-</i> | <i>i</i> , feeding. |

The particles that form the theme of the present verbal participle take such fantastic shapes (*vide* the list of typical verbs at the end of the section) that all attempts to reduce their formation

to definite rules have proved unsuccessful. Sometimes two forms are found: for instance the ordinary present verbal participle of *ri*, to cry, is *riki*, but *rippki* appears in the Chinna Kimḍi dialect; again the ordinary form of *kak*, to laugh, is *kāppki*, and of *kōk*, to sit, is *kōppki*, but *kāki* and *kōki* also occur.

PAST VERBAL PARTICIPLE.

The genesis of the past verbal participle is as obscure as that of the present verbal participle. The past verbal participle is formed by adding the suffix *a* to the root or theme, *e.g.*—

| Root. | Formative. | Suffix. |
|--------------|------------|-------------------------------|
| <i>pānḍ-</i> | — | <i>a</i> , having sent. |
| <i>pinj-</i> | <i>j-</i> | <i>a</i> , „ run away. |
| <i>lak-</i> | <i>k-</i> | <i>a</i> , „ worshipped. |
| <i>gi-</i> | — | <i>a</i> , „ done. |
| <i>si-</i> | — | <i>a</i> , „ given. |
| <i>vā-</i> | <i>j-</i> | <i>a</i> , „ come. |
| <i>tin-</i> | <i>j-</i> | <i>a</i> , „ eaten. |
| <i>un-</i> | <i>j-</i> | <i>a</i> , „ drunk. |
| <i>mān-</i> | <i>j-</i> | <i>a</i> , „ been or existed. |
| <i>mēh'</i> | <i>h-</i> | <i>a</i> , „ seen. |
| <i>tōs-</i> | <i>s-</i> | <i>a</i> , „ shown. |
| <i>tis-</i> | <i>s-</i> | <i>a</i> , „ fed. |

When the root ends in *j* or *s* or sometimes in *k* the final consonant appears to be doubled to form the theme of the past verbal participle, *e.g.*, *pinj*, to run away, *pinjja*; *aj*, to fear, *ajja*. Some roots ending in *h'* distinctly add another *h*, *e.g.*, *mēh'*, to see, *mēh'ha*; *ūh'*, to beat, *ūh'ha*. Some roots ending in *k* add *s*, *e.g.*, *kāk*; to laugh, *kākṣa*; *kōk*, to sit, *kōkṣa*.

The past verbal participle in Kūi sometimes forms the base of a finite verb which is generally used in the first person singular and is indeterminate in respect to time. This finite verb conveys a signification different from that of the parent verb as the following examples will show:—

From the root *vis*, to write, *lit.* to scratch, we get *visi'i*, I write; and from the theme *visa*, having written, we get *visa'i*, I shall write up. Similarly, *si'i*, I shall give; *si'a'i*, I shall give away; *vi'si'i*, I shall speak; *visa'a'i*, I shall speak for or intercede.

This finite verb formed on the past verbal participial theme may be compared with the reservative form of the verb in *kā* and *tā*.

The present and past verbal participles are used alone as adverbs. Their additional function is to form the compound tenses.

The present verbal participle alone or more often repeated has the force of an adverb, *e.g.*—

Jōppi jōppi kōppki senju,—He was sitting watching.

The past verbal participle is used in the characteristic Dravidian way to connect sentences or clauses together so that the necessity for the copulative conjunction 'and' is done away with, *e.g.*—

Iduki sālma, sājjanai tambēsani arma, arsanai nāi bahatani tāma,—Go to the house and call my brother and bring him to me.

When used as a conjunctive participle, the past verbal participle invariably suffixes the particles *na-i*, *na-i-ka* or *nānga*. These particles appear to be the relative participle of the verb *a*, to be or become, in the oblique case, and the effect of their union with the past verbal participle is to convert it into an adverb (*vide* chapter on the Adverb):—

LIST OF TYPICAL VERBS.

| Root. | Pres. participle. | Past participle. | Infinitive. |
|------------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| { <i>sī</i> , give, | <i>sī-ppki</i> , | <i>sī-a</i> , | <i>sī-va</i> . |
| { <i>gī</i> , do, | <i>gī-ppki</i> , | <i>gī-a</i> , | <i>gī-va</i> . |
| { <i>rī</i> , cry, | <i>rī-ki</i> , | <i>rī-a</i> , | <i>rī-va</i> . |
| { <i>mī</i> , bathe, | <i>mī-ki</i> , | <i>mī-a</i> , | <i>mī-va</i> . |
| { <i>dī</i> , fall, | <i>dī-ki</i> , | <i>dī-a</i> , | <i>dī-va</i> . |
| { <i>vā</i> , come, | <i>vā-i</i> , | <i>vā-ja</i> , | <i>vā-va</i> . |
| { <i>sā</i> , die, | <i>sā-i</i> , | <i>sā-ja</i> , | <i>sā-va</i> . |
| { <i>ā</i> , become, | <i>ā-i</i> , | <i>ā-ja</i> , | <i>ā-va</i> . |
| { <i>kū</i> , deny, | <i>kū-i</i> , | <i>kū-a</i> , | <i>kū-va</i> . |
| { <i>rū</i> , plough, | <i>rū-i</i> , | <i>rū-a</i> , | <i>rū-va</i> . |
| { <i>ḍo</i> , sleep, | <i>ḍo-ppi</i> , | <i>ḍo-sa</i> , | <i>ḍo-ppa</i> . |
| { <i>ja</i> , want, | <i>ja-ppi</i> , | <i>ja-sa</i> , | <i>ja-ppa</i> . |
| { <i>ār</i> , cry out, | <i>ār-ppi</i> , | <i>ār-sa</i> , | <i>ār-ppa</i> . |

| <i>Root.</i> | <i>Pres. participle.</i> | <i>Past participle.</i> | <i>Infinitives.</i> |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| { ūh', <i>beat</i> , | ūh'ppi, | ūh'ha, | ūh'ppa |
| { toh', <i>tie up</i> , | toh'ppi, | toh'ha, | toh'ppa. |
| { mēh', <i>see</i> , | mēh'ppi | mēh'ha, | mēh'ppa. |
| { peh', <i>drive away</i> , | peh'i, | peh'ha, | peh'a. |
| { gūh' <i>run</i> , | gūh'i, | gūh'ha, | gūh'a. |
| { ves, <i>speak</i> , | ves-ppi, | ves-sa, | ves-ppa. |
| { tōs, <i>show</i> , | tōs-ppi, | tōs-sa, | tōs-ppa. |
| { tīs, <i>feed</i> , | tīs-ppi, | tīs-sa, | tīs-ppa. |
| { tīn, <i>eat</i> , | tīn-ji, | tīn-ja, | tīn-ba. |
| { pūn, <i>know</i> , | pūn-ji, | pūn-ja, | pūn-ba. |
| { mān, <i>exist</i> , | mān-ji, | mān-ja, | mān-ba. |
| { vaj, <i>cook</i> , | vaj-ji, | vaj-ja, | vaj-ja. |
| { aj, <i>fear</i> , | aj-ji, | aj-ja, | aj-ja. |
| { kāk, <i>laugh</i> , | kā-pp-ki, | kāk-sa, | kā-pp-ka. |
| { kōk, <i>sit</i> , | kō-pp-ki, | kōk-sa, | kō-pp-ka. |
| { lek, <i>break</i> , | le-pp-ki, | lek-sa, | le-pp-ka. |
| { nōg, <i>wash</i> , | nō-b-gi, | nōg-ja, | nō-b-ga. |
| { tōg, <i>kick</i> , | tō-b-gi, | tōg-ja, | to-b-ga. |
| { un, <i>drink</i> , | un-bi, | un-ja, | un-ba. |
| { vel, <i>pull</i> , | vel-bi, | vel-ja, | vel-ba. |
| { sol, <i>enter</i> , | sol-bi, | sol-ja, | sol-ba. |
| { pān, <i>obtain</i> , | pān-ppi, | pān-a, | pān-ppa. |
| { mū, <i>be able</i> , | mū-ppi, | mū-a, | mū-ppa. |
| { aḍ, <i>be willing</i> , | aḍ-i, | aḍ-a, | aḍ-a. |
| { toṇḍ, <i>begin</i> , | toṇḍ-i, | toṇḍ-a, | toṇḍ-a. |
| { kōḍ, <i>buy</i> , | kōḍ-ai, | kōḍ-a, | kōḍ-a. |
| { pāṇḍ, <i>send</i> , | pāṇḍ-ai, | pāṇḍ-a, | pāṇḍ-a. |
| { pūṇḍ, <i>meet</i> , | pūṇḍ-ai, | pūṇḍ-a, | pūṇḍ-a. |
| { tak, <i>walk</i> , | tak-ai, | tak-ka, | tak-a. |
| { mask, <i>change</i> , | mask-ai, | mask-ka, | mask-a. |

RELATIVE OR ADJECTIVAL PARTICIPLE.

A marked characteristic of the Dravidian languages is the absence of the relative pronoun. Its want is supplied by the relative or adjectival participle and the noun derived from it.

The difference between the relative and the verbal participle is in this: the relative participle expresses the act or state implied

in the verbal root as in a being or agent, whereas the verbal participle expresses the same act or state in itself, *e.g.*—

Gini (*pres. rel. part.*), the doing (something), namely, the one that does; *gi-ti* (*past rel. part.*), the did (something), namely, the one that did; *gippki* (*pres. verbal part.*), the (act of) doing; *giā* (*past verbal part.*) the (act of) having done.

There are two primary relative participles in Kūi: (i) the indeterminate tense participle in *ni*, and (ii) the past tense participle in *ti*. A combination of the two verbal participles with the relative participles of the substantive verb *mān*, to be or exist, and its negative *siđ*, not to be or not to exist yields relative participles of all the compound tenses, *e.g.*—

Indeterminate tense: *vāni lõku*, the man that comes, *lit.* the come-man.

Past tense: *vāti lõku*, the man that came, *lit.* the came-man.

Pres. definite: *vāi ni lõku*, the man that is coming, *lit.* the is-coming-man.

Past Imperfect: *vāi si lõku*, the man that was coming, *lit.* the was-coming-man.

Perfect: *vāja ni lõku*, the man that has come, *lit.* the has-come-man.

Pluperfect: *vāja si lõku*, the man that had come, *lit.* the had-come-man.

The formation of the relative participle is analogous to that of the adjective, namely, by the suffixing of the adjectival formative *i* to the tense-form and making it a qualitative, *e.g.* from the indeterminate tense-form *vā(n)* we get *vā(n)-i*, the coming-(something), and from the past tense-form *vāt* we get *vāt-i*, the came-(something); similarly from *mān* are obtained the indeterminate tense participle *mān(n)-i*, the existing-(something) and the past tense participle *mās-i*, the existed-(something).

It will be observed that a nasal is inserted between the indeterminate tense-form which is the *root* or theme of the verb and the adjectival formative. It is not a tense characteristic, but an euphonic particle, for, as we have seen, there is no tense characteristic for the indeterminate tense-form.

The negative forms of the relative participle are obtained by inserting: (i) the negative particle *a'* between the root or theme and the tense characteristic *plus* the adjectival formative *i* for the primary tenses, *e.g.*, *gi-n-i lõku*, the man that does; *gi-a'-n-i lõku*, the man that does not; *gi-t-i lõku*, the man that did; *gi-a'-t-i lõku*, the man that did not; and (ii) by using the negative *siđ* for the compound tenses, *e.g.*, *giṣṣki ni lõku*, the man that is doing; *giṣṣki siđa'ni lõku*, the man that is not doing.

We saw in the finite verb that the third person singular and plural, indeterminate tense, indicative mood, of the affirmative form of the verb is formed on a base in *n*, and that the nasal is not found in the corresponding negative form of the verb. It is to be noted that the *n* appears in both the affirmative and negative forms of the relative participle in the indeterminate tense.

As the negative of the substantive verb *mān*, to be or exist, is *siđ*, not to be, not to exist, the negative of *mānn-i*, *mās-i* is *siđ-a'-ni*, *siđ-a'-ti*. The forms *siđ-a'-ni*, *siđ-a'-ti*, are double negatives.

Although the relative participle has the form of an adjective it retains its inherent force as a verb; and it may therefore have a subject, and if a transitive verb also a direct object; it may even sometimes be modified by an adverb.

The following examples will show the manner in which relative clauses are rendered in Kūi by the relative participle:—

Nominative case: *Eranju vēh'ha ni kṛaṇḍi*,—The tiger which he has killed, *lit.* the he-has-killed tiger.

Accusative case: *Evani vēh'ha ni kṛaṇḍi*,—The tiger which has killed him, *lit.* the him-has-killed tiger.

Genitive case: *Aba sati kōganju*,—The boy whose father is dead, *lit.* the father-is-dead boy.

Dative case: *Evanju vājani iḍu*,—The house to which he has come, *lit.* the he-has-come-to house.

Ablative case: *Anu viś mrah'nu kṛāsa ni kare*,—The knife with which I cut the tree yesterday, *lit.* the I-yesterday-have—cut-tree-with knife.

Locative case: *Anu vēle dōsa si iḍu*,—The house in which I had slept formerly, *lit.* the I-had-formerly-slept-in house.

Intercourse with the Uriyas, whose construction as regards the relative clause is so radically different from that of the Kandhs, has influenced the latter in their mode of thought to a certain extent, so that, with the exception of the wildest tracts to which Uriya has not yet penetrated, the Kandhs frequently use the indefinite or interrogative pronouns in correlation with definite demonstratives or they split up the relative proposition into question and answer, instead of employing the characteristic Dravidian idiom of the relative participle, *e.g.*—

| | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <p><i>Correlation of interrogative and definite demonstrative pronouns.</i></p> | } | <p><i>Umba'e sãji nenju evani arkamũ,—Go</i> call the man that is going, <i>it.</i> who is going, go call him.</p> |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

The more idiomatic rendering would be:—

Sãjinani arkamũ,—Go call the one that is going.

| | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <p><i>Relative proposition split up into question and answer.</i></p> | { | <p><i>Evani kranđi vëh'ha na gina'e, kranđi điti vih'ppa tangi sana,—Let us go</i> and shoot the tiger that has killed him, <i>it.</i> has not a tiger killed him, let us go to shoot the tiger.</p> |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

The relative participial construction would be:—

Evani vëh'hani kranđiti vih'ppatangĩ sana,—Let us go to shoot
the him-has-killed tiger.

APPELLATIVE VERBS.

There is a class of words in the Dravidian languages that are called appellative verbs. They are formed by suffixing the personal pronominal terminations to a noun or adjective. The appellative verbs are conjugated through every number and person, but they are restricted to indeterminate time, or properly to no time at all, as the idea of time is excluded from them.

In Kũi the appellative verb is constructed in the same way as in the other Dravidian languages, but the personal pronominal terminations are not identically the same in form as those of the finite verb.

The following are the personal pronominal terminations of the appellative verb:—

| | <i>Singular.</i> | <i>Plural.</i> |
|-------------------|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| 1st person ... | <i>anu</i> | { <i>amu.</i> <i>asu (inol. plu.).</i> |
| 2nd " ... | { <i>adi</i> <i>ati</i> | <i>aderu.</i> <i>ateru.</i> |
| 3rd " ... | { <i>anju (masc.).</i> <i>ari (neu.).</i> | <i>aru (masc.).</i> <i>avi (neu.).</i> |

e.g.—

Anu nēganu, I am good.
inu nēgati, thou art good.
evanju nēganju, he is good.
eri nēgari, she or it is good.

It will be observed the appellative verb in the third person is identical in form with the appellative noun. *Nēganju* would therefore mean (i) the good man or good boy (*appellative noun*), and (ii) he is a good man or a good boy (*appellative verb*). The appellative verbs in the first and second persons may also be used as appellative nouns of those persons: accordingly *nēganu* means (i) I the good man (*appellative noun*) and (ii) I am good (*appellative verb*); and *nēgati* (i) thou the good man (*appellative noun*), and (ii) thou art good (*appellative verb*).

Sometimes the personal pronominal terminations are suffixed to the inflexional base in *n* of the noun. This is the more common form for the second person singular and plural of the appellative noun, and is, as we have seen, the idiomatic way of expressing the vocative case, *e.g.*—

E nēga-n-ti, O thou good man.

Sometimes the particles *n-te* (*n* being the inflexional base particle) are inserted between the noun or adjective and the personal pronominal terminations for the first and third persons, *e.g.*—

Anu kūe-n-te-nu, I am a Kūi.
Inu kūe-n-ti, thou art a Kūi.
Evanju kūe-n-te-nju, he is a Kūi.
Eri kūe-n-te-ri, she is a Kūi.

This form is uncommon in the third person.

The plural may also take the following form:—

Amu kûi-nga-n-d-amu, or kûi-nga-n-amu.

Iru kûi-nga-n-d-eru, or kûi-nga-n-eru.

Evaru kûi-nga-n-d-eru, or kûi-nga-n-eru.

The neuter *kûi-nga-n-d-evi* or *kûi-nga-n-evi* for women does not seem to be used.

The relative or adjectival participial noun is conjugated like an appellative verb, and appears in both numbers and the three persons as well as in all the tenses of the verb, *e.g.*—

PRESENT TENSE.

Singular.

Plural.

Ginanu, I who do.

Ginamu, we who do.

Ginati or Ginanti, thou who doest.

Ginateru or Ginanteru, you who do.

Ginanju, he who does.

Ginaru, they who do.

Ginari, she or it who does.

Ginavi, they who do.

PAST TENSE.

Singular.

Plural.

Gitanu, I who did.

Gitamu, we who did.

Gitati or Gitanti, thou who didst.

Gitateru or Gitanteru, you who did.

Gitanju, he who did.

Gitaru, they who did.

Gitari, she or it who did, &c.

Gitavi, they who did, &c.

The infinitive of the verb is used as an adjective and compounded with the appellative noun formed on the word *gāṭa* to express a meaning that is somewhat different from that of the verbal root, *e.g.*—

Pūnba gāṭanti, O thou clever fellow.

But, Pūnanti, O thou who knowest.

VERBAL DERIVATES OR DERIVATIVE NOUNS.

These have already been considered in the Introduction. They are formed in various ways:—

- (1) By the addition of a formative to the verbal root, *e.g.*—

ko-mbo, a sept, from the root ko, to out.

vej-gu, fuel, from the root vaj, to cook.

paṅgeni, plauk, from the root paṅ, to divide.

- (2) By the infinitive of the verb, *e.g.*—
tisppa, a feast, *lit.* to feed.
timba, a feast, *lit.* to eat.
- (3) By the infinitive of two synonymous verbs, *e.g.*—
sah'ppa kosppa, assault, *lit.* to beat, to kill.
- (4) By the present participle and infinitive of the verb,
e.g.—
vesppi vesppa, conversation, *lit.* saying to say.

CHAPTER V.

THE ADVERBS.

The adverb is formed in practically the same way as the adjective from nouns and verbs.

(1) Nouns of quality or relation in the nominative, dative, ablative, or locative case are used as adverbs. They are placed before the verb they modify. Sometimes they are preceded by another noun which qualifies them. These adverbs may be divided into:—

(i) Adverbs of place, *e.g.*—

Imba, here; *imbata*, in this place.

Emba, there; *embata*, in that place.

Umba, where; *umbata*, in what place.

Imbanki, hither, to this place.

Embanki, thither, to that place.

Umbanki, whither, to what place.

Imbateka, hence, from this place.

Embateka, thence, from that place.

Umbateka, whence, from what place.

These series of adverbs are formed with much symmetry from the demonstrative particles *i*, *e*, *u*, as bases with the common Dravidian formative *mb* as a suffix, and the compound words become nouns of place.

Some other adverbs of place are:—

Sendo, above; *sendota*, in the place above; *sendoki*,
to the place above; *sendoteka*, from the place
above.

Neḍe, below, etc.

La'i, inside, etc.

Ve'o, *ve'oti*, *veto*, after (in place), etc.

Ine, this side, etc.

Ene, that side, etc.

A correlative adverbial clause of place is rendered by the relative or adjectival participle with the noun *baha*, place (*vide* the subordinate clause in Part III).

(ii) Adverbs of time, *e.g.*—

Ese vela, when.

Idah, now.

Eseka, ever.

Esekave, never.

Vêle, before.

Purba dina, formerly.

Da'u, *da'u-ki*, after (in time).

Neenju, to-day.

Vie, to-morrow.

Ressi, yesterday.

Rându, last year.

Vega dina, every day.

Ronisi, one day.

A circumstantial adverbial clause of time is rendered by the relative or adjectival participle with the particle *va* (*vide* subordinate clause in Part III).

(iii) Adverbs of quantity, *e.g.*—

Deha, much.

Ike, *ikoli*, *ikoka*, *koksi*, little.

Ofe, more.

Ese, how much.

(iv) Adverbs of manner, *e.g.*—

Deha, very.

Donde, soon.

Vide, in vain, for nothing.

Sâra Sâra, quickly.

Krê krê, loudly.

Ehengi,
Dehengi, } like, as.

Isingi, how.

A correlative adverbial clause of manner is rendered by *dehengi*, alike, as, (*vide* the subordinate clause in Part III).

(2) The present verbal participle repeated, or the past verbal participle with the relative participial suffixes *nai*, *naka*, or

ananga of the verb *a*, to be or become, are used as adverbs. These adverbs are generally adverbs of manner, *e.g.*—

Gāh'i gāh'i vātenju, he came running, *lit.* running running he came.

Ria naika ārtanju, he called out crying, *lit.* having cried he called out.

(3) Some nouns of quality or relation are turned into adverbs of manner by adding the relative participle suffix *nai*, *naika*, *ananga* of *a*, to be or become, *e.g.*—

Nēganai or *nēganaika vēsmā*, speak well, *lit.* it having been well speak.

(4) Some nouns of quality or relation compounded with the past participles of *a*, to be or become, *gi*, to do, *si*, to give, &c., become adverbs of manner, *e.g.*—

Māni āja naika vēsmā, speak obediently, *lit.* having become obedient speak.

Ēlu gīanai vēsmā, speak wisely, *lit.* having done wise speak.

Mōno sīanai kama gīmā, work willingly, *lit.* having given your mind work.

CHAPTER VI.

THE CASE-SUFFIXES OR POSTPOSITIONS.

We have seen the formation and use of the case-suffixes in the chapter on the Noun. They may be divided into two classes :—

(1) the inflexional increment or general oblique case-sign, and

(2) the auxiliary case-suffix which is in fact a noun.

The various inflexional increments and their combinations have been given in the chapter on the Noun.

The following is a list of the principal case-suffixes :—

ki, to, towards.

| | | |
|---------------|---|--------------------------------------------|
| <i>tangi,</i> | } | towards, for the purpose of, on behalf of. |
| <i>tingi,</i> | | |
| <i>tiki,</i> | | |
| <i>gēlu,</i> | } | on account of. |
| <i>gēli,</i> | | |

sendo, above.

nēde, below.

kui, *kuiti*, upon.

langi, under.

mūo, *mūo-ta*, in front of, before.

veo, *veo-ta*, at the back of, behind.

baha, *baha-ta*, near.

teka, from.

dai, *ʔai*, *ʔai*, by means of, through.

ta, in.

lai, *laita*, inside.

kee, with.

CHAPTER VII.

CONJUNCTIONS.

Kūi is somewhat weak in conjunctions. Subordinate clauses which are introduced by a conjunction in the Indo-Aryan languages are generally rendered in Kūi by an adverbial phrase (*vide* the manner of rendering subordinate clauses in Part III).

The following are the various ways in which words or sentences are joined together:—

(1) *Copulative Conjunction.*

The particle *ote*, more, is sometimes used as a copulative conjunction, especially to link words together in an enumeration, *e.g.*—

*Anu, ote nai dada, ote nai tambēsa, ote nai mrienju, sāna,—*I and my older brother and my younger brother and my son will go.

Ote is seldom used as a co-ordinate conjunction to connect propositions together. The more idiomatic way of connecting co-ordinate sentences is to repeat the verb in each proposition but the last in the past participle, especially when simple priority of one action over another is denoted, *e.g.*—

*Sāse, sājanai mēh'te, mēh'hanai vste,—*I went, having gone I saw, having seen I said, instead of *sāse ote mēh'te ote vste,—*I went and I saw and I said.

The adverb *embateka*, and then, *lit.* from there, is sometimes used as a co-ordinate conjunction to connect propositions when a sequence of events is denoted, *e.g.*—

*Sāsenju, sāja nai prēki gaṭani ah'tenju; embateka nājutaru vāteru, vāja nai prēki gaṭani uh'teru,—*he went and caught the thief; and the villagers came and beat the thief.

The emphatic form of *ote*, namely, *otege*, also, moreover, is used as a copulative conjunction.

(2) *Disjunctive Conjunction.*

There is no disjunctive conjunction. A disjunctive sentence like—"He neither came nor saw" would be rendered thus:—

"*Vāa'tenju mēh'a'tenju*,—He did not come he did not see."

(3) *Conditional Conjugation.*

We have seen the formation of the conditional mood in Kūi. The particle *kā*, which is added to the indefinite form of the past tenses of the verb of the conditional clause, may be called the conditional conjunction, *e.g.*—

Ehengi gite-kā sādi,—If thou doest so thou shalt die.

The adversative form of *kā* is *kāve*, *e.g.*—

Ehengi gite-kāve sādi,—Although thou doest so thou shalt die.

(4) *Causal Conjunction.*

The causal conjunction is the dative case suffix *ki* appended to the past tenses of the relative participle of the verb of the causal clause, which in fact becomes an adverb (*vide* the causal clause), *e.g.*—

Ehengi gita-ki sātenju,—Because he did so he died.

(5) *Temporal Conjunction.*

The temporal conjunction is *va*, which appears to be the infinitive of *ā*, to be or become; it is suffixed to the relative participle of the verb of the temporal clause, and the compound word becomes an adverb (*vide* the circumstantial clause of time), *e.g.*—

Vēspi sā-va rītenju,—He cried while he was speaking.

(6) *Adversative Conjunction.*

The adversative conjunction is *maska*, but; it is, however, seldom used, *e.g.*—

Vātenju maska sāsenju,—He came, but he went away.

The more idiomatic way of rendering this would be by repeating the verb of the subordinate clause in the adversative form of the conditional mood, *e.g.*—

Vātenju vāte-kā-vē sāsenju,—He came; although he came, he went away.

(7) *Restrictive Conjunction.*

The following is a restrictive conjunction:—*ese rai*, as far as, as much as, *e.g.*—

Ese rai pū'i nēganju,—As far as I know he is a good man.

(8) *Comparative Conjunction.*

The adverb *dehengi*, like, as, is used as a comparative conjunction; it is suffixed to the relative participle of the verb of the subordinate clause (*vide* the correlative clause of manner), *e.g.*—

Kōdi tinni dehengi kāma ginenju,—He works as a cow grazes.

(9) *Final or Conclusive Conjunction.*

The final or conclusive conjunction is the dative case suffix *tangi*, for the purpose of, added to the infinitive of the verb of the final clause (*vide* the final clause), *e.g.*—

Anu tinba tangi pāla tāmū,—Bring the rice in order that I may eat, *lit.* for me to eat.

CHAPTER VIII.

INTERJECTIONS.

The following are some of the interjections:—

| | |
|----------------|---------------------------------------------|
| <i>e!</i> , | o! (<i>address</i>). |
| <i>uh!</i> , | oh! (<i>an exclamation of annoyance</i>). |
| <i>aigo!</i> , | } <i>alas</i> . |
| <i>aïke!</i> , | |
| <i>aba!</i> , | father! |
| <i>ia!</i> , | mother! |
| <i>sa!</i> , | come along! |
| <i>a!</i> , | yes! (<i>affirmative particle</i>). |
| <i>a'e!</i> , | no! (<i>negative particle</i>). |

clauses in that language is to convert them into adverbs or adverbial phrases. In fact, an accurate speaker goes even so far as to subordinate all but the last of a string of co-ordinate sentences to the final sentence when simple priority of one action over another is denoted (*vide* the copulative conjunction), *e.g.*—

Sāse, sājanai mēh'te, mēh'hanai vēste,—I went and I saw and I spoke, *lit.* I went, having gone I saw, having seen I spoke.

Relative Clause.

The type of the subordinate clause is the relative clause. We have examined fully how the relative clause is rendered (i) by the relative or adjectival participle or participial noun, (ii) by the indefinite or interrogative pronoun used in correlation with a definite demonstrative, and (iii) by the splitting up of the relative clause into question and answer. All the circumstantial clauses of time, place, manner, etc., can be rendered, more or less, in all these three ways; the first way being, of course, the most idiomatic and in consonance with the genius of the language.

Besides the relative clause and the conditional clause, the construction of which we have already examined in detail, the following are the various subordinate clauses:—

Circumstantial Clause of Time.

A circumstantial clause of time is rendered idiomatically by the relative or adjectival participle with the addition of the particle *va*, the compound word becoming an adverbial phrase, *e.g.*—

Vēppi sava rītenju,—He cried while he was speaking.

The particle *va* appears to be the infinitive *ava* of the verb *a*, to be or become.

Concomitance is also expressed by the temporal particles *ise-ka*, when let be,—*ese-ka*, then let be, used in correlation with each other, *e.g.*—

Sāhebenju iseka vānenju eseka pala kūsa tamū,—Bring the food as soon as the *sahēb* arrives.

The same sentence may also be rendered by repeating the verb of the subordinate clause in the past participle, *e.g.*—

Sāhebenju vānenju vāja naika pala kūsa tāmā, lit. the saheb will come, having come bring the food.

When priority of time is implied in the subordinate clause the verb is put in the past participle, *e.g.*—

Pala kūsa tinja nai ka vāmā,—Come when thou hast eaten thy food, lit. having eaten the food come.

Causal Clause.

A causal clause is expressed by the past tenses of the relative or adjectival participle with the dative case-suffix *ki*, the compound word becoming an adverbial phrase, *e.g.*—

Ehengi gita-ki sātenju,—Because he did so he died.

Another way of expressing the same is by the infinitive of the verb with the particle *ne*, *e.g.*—

Ehengi giva-ne sātenju,—On doing so he died.

The particle *ne* appears to be *āne*, the indefinite form of the indeterminate tense of the verb *a*, to be or become, and the literal meaning of the sentence is, to do thus it is he died.

Sentences containing causal clauses are sometimes split up into co-ordinate propositions, *e.g.*—

Ehengi gitenju ēgeli sātenju,—He did so, on that account he died.

Final Clause.

The final clause in a sentence is rendered by the infinitive with the dative case-suffix *tangi*, *e.g.*—

Ānu tinba tangi pala tāmā,—Bring the rice in order that I may eat, lit. I, for the purpose of eating, bring the rice.

The final clause may also be put in the optative mood, the sentence being split up into co-ordinate clauses, *e.g.*—

Pala tāmā tinba kānu,—Bring the rice, let me eat.

Restrictive Clause.

A restrictive clause which is introduced by such words as—as for as far] as with regard to , etc., is rendered as a co-ordinate clause with the modifying phrase *eserai*, by this much, *e.g.*—

Eserai pñ'i ejoki säsensju,—As far as I know he went home.

The more idiomatic way is by the use of the infinitive, *e.g.*—

Nai pänba ejoki säsensju,—To my knowing he went home.

Correlative Clause of Manner.

The adverb *dehengi*, like, is suffixed to the relative or adjectival participle in the subordinate clause to express correlation, *e.g.*—

Ködi tinni dehengi kama ginenju,—He works as a cow grazes.

Correlative Clause of Place.

The relative or adjectival participle qualifying the noun *baha*, place, is used idiomatically as a correlative clause of place, *e.g.*—

Evaru mräh'nu kräsa si bahata köktenju,—He sat down there where they had cut the tree.

The sentence may also be rendered by co-ordinate clauses with the correlative adverbs of place: *umba*, where; *emba*, there, *e.g.*—

Umba mräh'nu kräsa seru emba köktenju,—Where they had cut the tree there he sat down.

DIRECT AND INDIRECT FORM OF SPEECH.

There is no indirect form of speech in Kui. The words of a third person must be left as he repeated them, *e.g.*—

Vie sä'i inji cēstenju,—He said he would go to-morrow,
lit. I shall go to-morrow saying he said.

The following sentence is rendered idiomatically by the direct form of speech:—

Manda tah'i inji najutänju taki taki säsensju,—A villager was going along to throw up an embankment, *lit.* saying I shall throw up an embankment a villager was going along.

APPENDIX.

A LIST OF WORDS THAT INDICATE THE RELATIONSHIP
BETWEEN KŪI AND THE LITERARY DRAVIDIAN LANGUAGES:—

First, the personal pronouns of the first and second persons, which of all words are the least liable to change and decay, are undoubtedly Dravidian, as the following comparative table will show:—

FIRST PERSON.

Singular.

| <i>Tamil.</i> | <i>Canarese.</i> | <i>Telugu.</i> | <i>Kui.</i> |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|
| nān, (<i>colloquial</i>) | nānu, (<i>colloquial</i>) | nēnu, (<i>colloquial</i>) | ānu, |
| yān, } (<i>classical</i>) | ān, } (<i>classical</i>) | ēnu, } (<i>classical</i>) | |
| nān, } | yān, } | ē, | |

Plural.

| | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| nām, } (<i>colloquial</i>) | nāvu, (<i>colloquial</i>) | mēmu, } (<i>collo-</i> <i>manamu, quial</i>) | āmu, |
| nāngal, } | | | |
| yām, } (<i>classical</i>) | ām, } (<i>classical</i>) | ēmu, (<i>classical</i>) | āju, |
| nām, } | avu, } | | (<i>inclusive plural</i>). |

SECOND PERSON.

Singular.

| | | | |
|------|-----------------------------|-------|------|
| nī, | nīnu, (<i>colloquial</i>) | nīvu, | īnu, |
| nīy, | nīn', (<i>classical</i>) | | |

Plural.

| | | | |
|----------------|---------------------------------|-------|------|
| nīr, nīyir, | nīm, īr, (<i>termination</i>) | mīru, | īru, |
| nīvir, nīngal, | nīvu, | īru, | |

Secondly, the numerals, which are also a persistent set of words in a family of languages, are unmistakably Dravidian:—

| | <i>Tamil.</i> | <i>Canarese.</i> | <i>Telugu.</i> | <i>Kui.</i> |
|--------|---------------|------------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| one, | onru | ondu | okaṭi | ronḍe |
| two, | iraṇḍu | eraḍu | reṇḍu | riṇḍe |
| three, | mūṇru | mūru | mūḍu | mūṇji |
| four, | nālu, nāngu | nāluku | nālgu | nālgī |
| five, | aindu, aiṇju | aidu | aidu | singi |
| six, | āru | āru | āru | sājgi |
| seven, | ēru | ēlu | ēḍu, ēḷlu | oḍgi |
| eight, | eṭṭu | eṇṭu | enimidi | aṭa |
| nine, | onbadu | ombatu | tommidi | no (<i>Uriya</i>) |
| ten, | pattu | hattu | padi | doso (<i>Uriya</i>) |

Thirdly, root-words that originated most likely in primitive times are found in Kūi in almost the same form in which they exist in Tamil and Canarese, more especially in the ancient or classical dialects.

(i) The names of the more prominent parts of the human body—

Tlau, the head : *Tam.* talai, *Can.* tale, *Tel.* tala.

Kanu, the eye : *Tam.* kaṇ, *Can.* kannu, *Tel.* kannu.

Mū, the face : *Tam.* mūñji, *Can.* mōre, *Tel.* mūti.

Mūngeli, the nose : *Tam.* mūṅku, *Can.* mūgu, *Tel.* mukku.

The root of all these cognate words is *mōr* or *mō*, to smell.

Palu, a tooth : *Tam.* pal, *Can.* hallu, *Tel.* pallu.

Kriu, the ear : *Tam.* sévi, *Can.* kivi, *Tel.* chevi.

Kaju, the hand : *Tam.* kai, *Can.* kai, *Tel.* chey.

Vāṅgosi, the tongue : *Tam.* nā, nāṅku, *Can.* nālige, *Tel.* nālika. *Vāṅgosi* seems to be derived from the Dravidian word *vāy*, the mouth ; while the Tamil, Canarese, and Telugu terms are from the Dravidian root *nakku*, to lick.

(ii) The names of the simple family relationships—

Aba, tānji, father : *Tam.* appa, tandai, *Can.* appa, tande, *Tel.* abba, taṇḍri.

Ia, taḍi, mother : *Tam.* tāy, annai, *Can.* tāyi, *Tel.* talli. In classical Tamil we find *āyi* or *āy* for mother, matron, lady.

Ake, grandfather : *Tam.* tāta, pāṭṭan, *Can.* tāta, *Tel.* tāta. In Tamil *akkai*, *akka*, *akkāl*, and in Canarese and Telugu *akka*, mean an elder sister.

Ata, grandmother : *Tam.* pāṭṭi, *Can.* ajji, *Tel.* avva. In classical Tamil *attai*, *āttāl*, mean a mother.

Mrienju, a son : *Tam.* magan, *Can.* maganu, *Tel.* koḍuku.

Mrau, a daughter : *Tam.* magal, *Can.* magalu, *Tel.* kūturu.

The Gond words for son and daughter are : *marri* and *miar* respectively. In Kūi the verbal root *mar* means to give birth to. In Canarese *maṛi* means the young of any animal ; it also means a young child, a shoot, a sapling ; *maṛi koḍu* means to bring forth young, to cub.

Ambesa, tambesa, younger brother : *Tam.* tambi, *Can.* tamma, *Tel.* tammuḍu.

(iii) Words denoting the most common actions of every day life—

Vā, to come : *Tam.* vā, *Can.* bā, *Tel.* rā.

Sal, to go : *Tam.* śel (classical), pō, *Can.* hōgu, *Tel.* pō. The Telugu *chellu* and the Canarese *sal* mean *to enter upon a course, to be current*; the Tamil word *śel* is also used in this meaning.

Tin, to eat : *Tam.* tin, *Can.* tinnu, *Tel.* tinu.

Un, to drink : *Tam.* uṇ (classical), kuḍi, *Can.* kuḍi, *Tel.* trāgu.

Sā, to die : *Tam.* śā, ohā, *Can.* sā, *Tel.* chā.

In, to say : *Tam.* en, *Can.* annu, *Tel.* anu.

Ves, to speak : *Tam.* pēśu, *Can.* mātāḍu, *Tel.* mātḷādu.

Sol, to speak : *Tam.* śol, *Can.* hēlu.

Gi, to do : *Tam.* śey, chey, *Can.* gey (classical), māḍu, *Tel.* chey.

Ri, to cry : *Tam.* aṛu (to weep), irai (to cry out), *Tel.* ēḍu.

Nil, to stand : *Tam.* nil, *Can.* nillu, *Tel.* nilu.

Mi, to bathe : *Tam.* muṅgu, mūṅgu, *Can.* mīy, munugu, *Tel.* muṇugu.

Aj, to fear; ass, to frighten : *Tam.* aṅju, aḥohappaḍu, *Can.* aṁjike (fear), bayappaḍu, *Tel.* aṁjika (fear).

Kokk, to sit down : *Tam.* uṭkār, *Can.* kūr, koru, *Tel.* kuko kūkkonuṭa.

Ā, to be or become : *Tam.* ā, āgu, *Can.* āgu, agu, *Tel.* aguṭa, kā, avuṭa.

Mān, to be or exist, and its negative siḍ, not to be or not to exist : *Tam.* iru and il, *Can.* iru and il, *Tel.* unḍu and lē. It is curious that Kūi should diverge so much from the literary languages in such a fundamental word as the substantive verb. The primary meaning of *iru*, *unḍu*, is *to sit*, that is, *to be without doing anything*, hence *to exist*. The root *maṇ* in classical Tamil signifies *that which has position, that which is spread out*, hence *the ground or the earth*; as a verb it means *to remain, to abide*, hence the derivative noun *manai*, *a house*. The Kūi word *mān* seems to be radically the same as the classical Tamil *maṇ*. The negative *siḍ* although seemingly different from *il* appears to be really another form of the word = Tamil *l* becomes *ḍ* in Kūi (compare Tamil *il*, *a house*

and Kūi *iḍu*; Tamil *vil*, a bow, and Kūi *viḍu*; Tamil *pāl*, milk, and Kūi *pādu*). Now Kūi sometimes prefixes *s* to certain Dravidian words that begin with a vowel, e.g., Tamil *ēr*, Canarese *ēru*, a plough, become *sēru* in Kūi; Telugu *i*, to give, becomes *si* in Kūi; Telugu *ai*, five, and *aj*, *aji*, *sia*, become *siṅgi* and *sajgi*, respectively, in Kūi. In Kūi itself *ēlu* and *sēlu*, wisdom or mind, *oḥe* and *soḥe*, and or again, are instances of two forms of the same word. Hence Kūi *siḍ*, not to be, is another form of *iḍ* or its equivalent the Tamil and Canarese *il*.

(iv) The names of natural objects:—

Pēṇu, a god: in the literary languages the Sanskrit derivatives *devu*, *devan*, etc., are the only words found to denote the deity. The Dravidian root *pēṇ* means to cherish, to love, hence to worship.

Vela, the sun: the pure Dravidian term is *nāyiru* or *nāyirr*, but all the literary languages have adopted the Sanskrit derivatives *suriya*, *suriyam*, etc., *vela* in Kūi and *veyil* in Tamil mean really the sunlight, and both are of course Sanskrit derivatives. When the sun is referred to as a deity by the Kandhs the word *dormo* is used: *dormo pēṇu*, the sun-god or creator (compare *darmi* of the Oraons and *darme* of the Maler or Rajmehar Paharias with this word).

Danju, the moon: this is not traceable to the Dravidian word *tingal*, the moon. But the expression *tingal danju* in Kūi means the crescent moon. As a deity the moon is *chāndo* in Kūi; *chando pēṇu*, the moon-god.

Suka, a star: Tam. *sukkai* (literally a spot), Can. *chukke*, Tel. *chukka*.

Mrah'nu, a tree: Tam. *maram*, Can. *mara*, Tel. *mānu* or *mṛānu*.

Pūju, flower: Tam. *pū*, Can. *pū*, *pūva*, Tel. *pū*.

Kau, fruit: Tam. *kani* (classical), Can. *haṇṇu*, Tel. *paṇḍu*. The root *kanuṭa*-in Telugu means to bear or bring forth (young or fruit).

Soru, hill: Tam. *kuṇṇu*, Can. *guḍḍa*, *guṭṭu*, Tel. *guṭṭa*, *guṭi*, *koṇḍa*. *Goṇḍa* or *koṇḍa* is used in Kūi with the

names of villages to denote their situation on a hill, *e.g.*,
Buichegoṇḍa, Palakoṇḍa.

(v) Words that would be used by primitive hunters and shepherds:—

Ḍura, a club or stick: *Tam. tari or taḍi, Can. kōlu, Tel. karra.* *Tari* means in Canarese *a stake, a post.*

Viḍu, a bow: *Tam. vil, Can. villu, Tel. villu.*

Ambu, an arrow: *Tam. Can. and Tel. ambu.*

Sūli ḍura, a spear: *Tam. Can. Tel. sūlam.*

Mīnu, a fish: *Tam. mīn, Can. mīnu, Tel. mīnamu.*

Priū, a worm: *Tam. puṟu, Can. huḷu, Tel. purugu or puru.*

Pēnu, a louse: *Tam. pēn, Can. hēnu, Tel. pēnu.*

Nākuri, a dog: *Tam. nāy, Can. nāyi, Tel. kukka.*

Oḍa, a goat: *Tam. āḍu, Can. mēke, Tel. mēka.*

Plambo, hunting: *Tam. vēṭṭei, Can. bēṭe, Tel. vēṭa.* But in Kūi the word *viṭ* means *to let loose an arrow, to shoot*; the corresponding Tamil verb is *viḍu*.

(vi) Terms that were probably used at a rudimentary stage of husbandry:—

Sēru, a plough, also cultivation: *Tam. ēr, Can. ēru.*

Nāju, village: *Tam. ūr, Can. uru, Tel. ūru.* But *nāḍu* in Tamil means *the cultivated country.* *Nāṭo* or *nāṭṭo* in Kūi is *a new village, one recently established.* Compare Tamil *naḍu* (verbal theme), *to plant*, and *nāṭṭu*, *to set up, to establish.*

Pāju, paḍi, a village (now used only with the names of certain villages, *e.g.* *Beraṅpaju*). In Tamil *paḍi* means *a large village*; it is also found as a termination of the names of villages.

Iḍu, a house: *Tam. viḍu, Can. mane, Tel. illu.* In classical Tamil *iḷ* means *a house.* The change of *l* to *d* in Kūi is common, and *iḍu* is radically the same as ancient Tamil *iḷ*.

Nāri, fire: *Tam. neruppu, Can. beṅki, kenda, Tel. nippu.* Compare with these the pure Dravidian word for *Sun*: *nāyiru.*

Sāru, salt: *Tam. Can. and Tel. uppu*; but *uppu* is a generic word. In Tamil *uvar* means *saltish.*

Niju, oil : *Tam. Can. and Tel. nēy.*

Joeli, maize : *Tam. chōla, Can. jōla, Tel. jonnalu.*

Paji, a pig : *Tam. paṇṇi, Can. handi, Tel. pandi.*

Kōju, a fowl : *Tam. kōṛi, Can. kōli, Tel. kōḍi.* All these appear to be from the root *ku*, to cry.

Kōḍi, a cow : *Tam. ā, Can. hasu, Tel. āvu.* There is an older Dravidian word for cow in Kūi which is obsolete; it is now only used when the priest goes through certain rituals. The word *kōḍi* appears to be derived from the verbal root *kōḍ*, to purchase (compare the Dravidian word *kol*, to purchase).

(vi) The names of the metals are all Uriya words. But the Dravidian word *irumbu*, iron, appears in the expression *rumba vaḍi*, iron-stone, although *iron* itself is always *lua* (Uriya *loha*). The war-god is referred to as *lua pēṇu*.

